DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 291 311 HE 021 178

TITLE California College-Going Rates, 1986 Update. The

Tenth in a Series of Reports on New Freshmen

Enrollment at California's Colleges and Universities

by Recent Graduates of California High Schools.

INSTITUTION California State Postsecondary Education Commission,

Sacramento.

REPORT NO CPEC-87-38

PUB DATE Sep 87 NOTE 72p.

AVAILABLE FROM California Postsecondary Education Commission, 1020

Twelfth Street, Third Floor, Sacramento, CA

95814-3985.

PUB TYPE Statistical Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS American Indians; Asian Americans; Black Students;

*College Attendance; *College Bound Students; Community Colleges; *Enrollment Trends; Ethnic Groups; Females; Filipino Americans; *Geographic Distribution; Higher Education; High School Graduates; Hispanic Americans; Males; Minority

Groups; Private Colleges; *Public Colleges; Racial Distribution; State Surveys; State Universities;

White Students

IDENTIFIERS *California

ABSTRACT

Trends in college-going rates in California since 1974 are reported for the three public segments of California higher education. Also considered are trends in enrollment by counties and changes in the ethnicity of first-time freshmen compared with that of high school graduates. Statewide college-going rates for high school graduates during 1974-1986 are presented for the University of California, the California State University, the California community colleges, and the private colleges. Enrollments are reported for six ethnic groups (Asian, Filipino, Hispanic, Black, American Indian, and White). The data indicate that the college-going rates for both the University of California and the California State University increased from fall 1985 to fall 1986 to their highest levels for the 13-year period. Community college and private college rates also increased between 1985 and 1986 but did not exceed rates for years in the late 1970s. Notable gains in rep esentation of ethnic minorities continue to be made by Asian high school graduates, particularly in their enrollment as freshmen in the University of California and the California State University. (SW)



Summary

This is the Commission's tenth annual report on the flow of students from high school to higher education in California.

It indicates that college-going rates for both the University of California and the California State University increased from Fall 1985 to Fall 1986 to their highest levels for the 13-year period for which such rates have been computed — 7.9 percent of 1985-86 high school graduates for the University, and 10.2 percent for the State University.

Community College and independent institution rates also increased between 1985 and 1986 but did not exceed rates for years in the late 1970s. The Community College rate for Fall 1986 was 36.3 percent, compared with an all-time high of 43.3 for 1977. Fall 1977 also produced the highest rate for independent colleges and universities - 3.6 percent compared to 3.4 for 1986.

The combined rate for all three public segments rose from a low of 50.7 percent in Fall 1985 to 54.4 percent in 1986, and the total rate for all institutions rose from 53.7 to 57.8 percent -- the highest since 1982, when it was 61.4 percent.

The report indicates that the most notable gains in representation of ethnic minorities continue to be made by Asian high school graduates, particularly in their enrollment as freshmen in the University of California and the California State University. The increase of Asian and Filipino freshmen has resulted in white non-Hispanic graduates of public high schools being "underrepresented" at the University in terms of their percentage of high school graduates for the first time in the 1986 Fall term, as well as the Community Colleges having the lowest overall representation of ethnic minority groups in the 1986 freshman class. However, more than three-fourths of all Black and Hispanic freshmen enrolled in California's public colleges and universities in 1986 attended Community Colleges.

The Commission discussed a draft of this report on September 21, 1987. Additional copies of the report may be obtained from the Publications Office of the Commission. Further information about the report may be obtained from Dorothy Knoeil of the Commission staff at (916) 322-8015.



CALIFORNIA COLLEGE-GOING RATES 1986 UPDATE

The Tenth in a Series of Reports on New Freshman Enrollment at California's Colleges and Universities by Recent Graduates of California High Schools

> POSTSECONDARY z 0

CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION Third Floor • 1020 Twelfth Street • Sacramento, California 95814-3985

COMMISSION





COMMISSION REPORT 87-38 PUBLISHED SEPTEMBER 1987

This report, like other publications of the California Postsecondary Education Commission, is not copyrighted. It may be reproduced in the public interest, but proper attribution to Report 87-38 of the California Postsecondary Education Commission is requested.



Contents

1.	Background for the Analysis	
	Scope of the Report Limitations of the Data Methodology	
2.	High School Graduates and Statewide College-Going Rates	6
	Number of High School Graduates Statewide College-Going Rates	;
3.	University of California Participation Rates	Ę
	Campus Differences Differences Among the Counties Rates for Public and Private Schools University-Going Rates of Different Ethnic Groups	
4.	California State University Participation Rates	g
	Campus Differences Differences Among the Counties Differences Between Public and Private Schools State University-Going Rates of Different Ethnic Groups	9 11 11
5 .	Community College Participation Rates	13
	Los Angeles County	13
	Orange County	14
	San Diego County	14
	Santa Clara County	14
	Alameda County	14
	San Bernardino County	14
	Sacramento County	14
	Contra Costa County	15
	Riverside County	15
	Ventura County	15
	San Mateo County	15
	Fresno County	15



	San Francisco County	15
	Kern County	15
	Santa Barbara County	16
	Comments	16
6.	Independent Institution Participation Rates	17
	Differences Among Institutions	18
	Sex and Ethnicity	18
7 .	Differences in Enrollment of Men and Women	19
	Sex Differences Among High School Graduates	
	and University Freshmen	19
	University of California Analysis	19
	State University Analysis	19
	Community College Analysis	19
8.	Ethnic Distribution of Students	21
	Public High School Graduates	21
	University of California	21
	The California State University	23
	California Community Colleges	24
	The Combined Segments	24
9.	Concluding Comments	27
	Changes in Ethnic Distributions	27
A 222	andiz A: Demontage of Perent High School Creductes Envelling	
Thh	endix A: Percentage of Recent High School Graduates Enrolling in Each Segment of California Higher Education by County	
	and Year, 1974, 1976, 1978, and 1980-1986	29
App	endix B: Ethnic Distribution of 1985-86 Graduates of Public	
rr	California High Schools by County and of Fall 1986 First-Time	
	Freshmen at the University of California, the California State	
	University, and the California Community Colleges, by County	40
	and Sex	43
D 4		e a
Kefe	rences	67



Displays

1.	Statewide College-Going Rates for Recent High School Graduates, 1974-1986	3
2.	Number of California High School Graduates Enrolled as First-Time Freshmen on Each General Campus of the University of California, Fall 1982 Through Fall 1986	5
3.	Percentage of Public and Private High School Graduates from Selected Counties Enrolling as Freshmen at the University of California in the 1984, 1985, and 1986 Fall Terms	7
4.	Number of California High School Graduates Enrolled as First-Time Freshmen on Each Campus of the State University, Fall 1982 Through Fall 1986	10
5.	Number of Recent California High School Graduates Enrolling as First- Time Freshmen in 43 Regionally Accredited California Independent Colleges and Universities, Fall 1986	17
6.	Ethnic Distribution of Public High School Graduates a: of First-Time College and University Freshmen, Selected Years Between 1979 and 1986	22



1

Background for the Analysis

THIS is the tenth annual report on the flow of students from high school to higher education in California, with information reproduced and updated from previous reports (Commission, 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982a, 1982c, 1983, 1985a, 1985d, and 1986). The first year for which college-going rates are computed is 1974, although the first report was not published until 1978.

A major purpose of these reports is to identify trends in college-going rates sinc. 1974 and to analyze changes in rates experienced by the various segments of higher education and by counties in light of ctatewide trends. The reports also provide a basis for analyzing changes in the ethnicity of first-time freshmen, compared with that of high school graduates.

Scope of the report

This report presents college-going rates for the three public segments of California higher education, which have been computed each year since Fall 1974, as well as rates for California's regionally accredited independent colleges and universities, which have been computed since 1977 It also reports these rates for each of California's 58 counties with at least 175 high school graduates each year plus data on the ethnicity of 1986 public high school graduates and first-time freshmen in the public segments from each county. The ethnicity of private high school graduates and freshmen in independent colleges and universities is not included because the data on student ethnicity in these segments is incomplete.

Limitations of the data

The scope of the report is limited by the lack of information about the flow of recent high school graduates into private postsecondary schools that do not grant degrees and colleges or universities that are

not members of the Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities The inclusion of such students in the analysis would increase the statewide participation rates reported in this document as well as those for most urban counties.

Two other gaps in information are the numbers of (1) California high school graduates who enroll in colleges and universities in other states, and (2) those who receive formal training offered under other auspices than colleges and universities -- for ex ample, job-training programs that are not offered for college credit.

Thus, the college-going rates reported in later pages are underestimates of the percentages of young people enrolling in some type of postsecondary education after high school graduation

Methodology

Statewide, segmental, and county college-going rates are obtained by dividing the number of first-time freshnien 19 years of age and under enrolling both full time and part time each fall by the total number of the prior academic year's public and private high school graduates. These rates, expressed as percentages, provide the best available measure of California college-going rates for recent high school graduates, in the absence of a student data base with unique student identifiers.

Numbers of public and private high school graduates are obtained from the California Basic Education Data System of the State Department of Education. Data submitted annually to the California Postsecondary Education Commission by the University of California, the California State University, and the California Community Colleges are the source of information about the high school of origin for their first-time freshmen. Information about first-time freshmen in independent colleges and universities comes from a special survey conducted by Commission staff with the cooperation of the Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities.



As in past years, some Community College districts submitted poor data for their first-time freshmen in Fall 1986. Staff members at both the Commission

and the Community Colleges Chancellor's Office are continuing their efforts to obtain accurate information from these districts.



High School Graduates and Statewide College-Going Rates

THE statewide number of high school graduates and college-going rates for the University of California, the California State University, and the California Community Colleges appear in Display 1 below for a 13-year period (1974 through 1986) and for California's independent colleges and universities for a tenyear period (1977 through 1986). County-by-county data are reported in Appendix A on pages 29-42.

Number of high school graduates

Numbers of California high school graduates have declined to a low of 248,894 in 1985-86, but the decrease was less than 1 percent from the previous year. Decreases have occurred every year except

1981-82, when the number of graduates increased by 2.2 percent or by 5,695 students. Since 1981-82, the number of graduates has decreased 6.4 percent or 17,030 students.

Until 1985-86, both the number of private high school graduates reported to the State Department of Education and their percentage of the total graduates had been increasing at a time when the number of public high school graduates had been decreasing. Although data on them may be incomplete, the reported number of private high school graduates increased between 1982-83 and 1984-85, when they constituted 10.9 percent of the total, but then decreased in 1985-86 to 9.3 percent of the total. Public high school graduates, on the other hand, showed an increase of 0.1 percent between 1984-85 and 1985-86, or 322 students.

DISPLAY 1 Statewide College-Going Rates for Recent High School Graduates, 1974-1986

Number		Percentage Enrolling as Freshmen						
		The California	The California	Total	Independent	Grand		
<u>Graduates</u>	<u>Califorria</u>	University	Colleges	Institutions	¹ nstitutions	<u>Total</u>		
289,714	5.1%	7.6%	41.3%	54.0%				
293,941	5.3	7.5	43.1	55.9				
289,454	5.1	7.8	41.7	54.6		•••		
285,360	5.2	8.0	43.3	56.5	3 6%	60.1%		
283,841	5.5	8.4	41.4	55 .3	3.4	58.7		
278,548	5.8	8.7	42.1	56.6	3 4	60.0		
270,971	6.0	9.0	43.0	58.0	3.5	61.5		
260,229	6.4	9.0	42.1	57.6	3.3	60.8		
265,924	6.4	9.0	42.8	58.2	3.2	61.4		
262,160	7.0	8.9	37.9	53.8	3.4	57.2		
257,633	7.5	8.9	36.3	52.7	3.3	56.0		
251,143	7.7	10.0	33.0	50.7	3.0	53.7		
248,894	7.9	10.2	36.3	54.4	3.4	57 .8		
	of High School Graduates 289,714 293,941 289,454 285,360 283,841 278,548 270,971 260,229 265,924 262,160 257,633 251,143	of High School The University of California 289,714 5.1% 293,941 5.3 289,454 5.1 285,360 5.2 283,841 5.5 278,548 5.8 270,971 6.0 260,229 6.4 265,924 6.4 262,160 7.0 257,633 7.5 251,143 7.7	of High School The University of California The California The California 289,714 5.1% 7.6% 293,941 5.3 7.5 289,454 5.1 7.8 285,360 5.2 8.0 283,841 5.5 8.4 278,548 5.8 8.7 270,971 6.0 9.0 260,229 6.4 9.0 265,924 6.4 9.0 262,160 7.0 8.9 257,633 7.5 8.9 251,143 7.7 10.0	of High School Graduates The University of California The California State University The California Community Colleges 289,714 5.1% 7.6% 41.3% 293,941 5.3 7.5 43.1 289,454 5.1 7.8 41.7 285,360 5.2 8.0 43.3 283,841 5.5 8.4 41.4 278,548 5.8 8.7 42.1 270,971 6.0 9.0 43.0 260,229 6.4 9.0 42.1 265,924 6.4 9.0 42.8 262,160 7.0 8.9 37.9 257,633 7.5 8.9 36.3 251,143 7.7 10.0 33.0	of High School Graduates The University of California Of California The California State University The California Community Colleges Total Public Institutions 289,714 5.1% 7.6% 41.3% 54.0% 293,941 5.3 7.5 43.1 55.9 289,454 5.1 7.8 41.7 54.6 285,360 5.2 8.0 43.3 56.5 283,841 5.5 8.4 41.4 55.3 278,548 5.8 8.7 42.1 56.6 270,971 6.0 9.0 43.0 58.0 260,229 6.4 9.0 42.1 57.6 265,924 6.4 9.0 42.8 58.2 262,160 7.0 8.9 37.9 53.8 257,633 7.5 8.9 36.3 52.7 251,143 7.7 10.0 33.0 50.7	of High School Graduates The University of California Of California State University The California Community Colleges The California Institutions Independent California Institutions 289,714 5.1% 7.6% 41.3% 54.0% 293,941 5.3 7.5 43.1 55.9 289,454 5.1 7.8 41.7 54.6 285,360 5.2 8.0 43.3 56.5 3.6% 283,841 5.5 8.4 41.4 55.3 3.4 278,548 5.8 8.7 42.1 56.6 3.4 270,971 6.0 9.0 43.0 58.0 3.5 260,229 6.4 9.0 42.1 57.6 3.3 265,924 6.4 9.0 42.8 58.2 3.2 262,160 7.0 8.9 37.9 53.8 3.4 257,633 7.5 8.9 36.3 52.7 3.3 251,143 7.7 10.0 33		

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.



Changes are occurring in the numbers and percentages of public high school graduates among California's major ethnic groups, and these changes are important in fo. ecasting college and university enrollments because of the different college-going rates of these groups.

Both numbers and percentages of Black graduates and if white graduates who are non-Hispanic have been decreasing at least during the past four years (1982-83, 1983-84, 1984-85, and 1985-86). At the same time, numbers and percentages of graduates who are Hispanic, Asian, or Filipino have been increasing, in spite of the overall decline in total numbers. The proportion of ...nerican Indian students among the high school graduates appears to be stable, but the identification of this group is less reliable than for the larger ethnic groups.

Among notable changes from 1984-85 to 1985-86 are a reversal in the rank ordering of Black and Asian graduates, with Asians increasing by 866 graduates to 8.4 percent of the total group from 3.0 percent, and Black graduates decreasing by 887 to 8.0 from 8.4 percent. The number of Hispanic graduates increased by more than 1,000, and their percentage rose from 18.6 to 19.0. Filipino graduates increased by 422, and their percentage rose by 0.2 to 2.2 percent of the total. The decrease for white graduates was less than 1 percent (887 students), and they remained about 62 percent of public high school graduates.

While the statewide decrease in high school graduates was 0.9 percent between 1984-85 and 1985-86, changes for the 31 counties with at least 1,000 graduates varied broadly around the statewide percentage. Seven of the 15 counties with the largest numbers of graduates experienced less than 1 pe. ent change between 1984-85 and 1985-86. Of the remaining counties, four had losses that ranged from 3.6 to 6.5 percent, and four had gains that ranged from 1.8 to 4.2 percent. Half of the remaining coun-

ties with at least 1,000 graduates showed gains that ranged from 1.7 to 9.5 percent, and half had losses between 2.4 and 9.9 percent. Larger percentage gains and losses tended to occur among the counties with fewer than 3,000 graduates, although Orange and San Mateo counties were major exceptions with losses of 5.8 and 6.5 percent, respectively. However, in the case of San Mateo county the loss may be the result of under-reporting of private high school graduates for 1985-86, since there was little change in the number of public high school graduates.

Statewide college-going rates

Statewide college-going rates for both the University of California and the California State University again increased from Fall 1985 to Fall 1986 to their highest levels for the 13-year period for which such rates have been computed -- 79 percent for the University, and 10.2 percent for the State University. Community College and independent institution rates also increased between 1985 and 1986 but did not exceed highs found for earlier years. The Community College rate was 36.3, compared with an alltime high of 43.3 for 1977 Fall 1977 also produced the highest rate for independent colleges and universities -- 3.6 percent, compared to 3.4 for 1986. The combined rate for all three public segments rose from a low of 50.7 percent in Fall 1985 to 54.4 percent in 1986, and the total rate for all institutions rose from 53.7 to 57.8 percent.

The combined college-going rate of 18.1 percent for the University of California and the California State University means that more than half of the high school graduates who were eligible to attend either of the universities under admission policies in effect for Fall 1986 were doing so, although this rate includes some freshmen who are special-action admits.



University of California Participation Rates

THE college-going rate of California high school graduates in the University of California has increased from 5.1 percent in Fall 1976 to 7.9 percent in Fall 1986, with half of that increase occurring between 1982 and 1986. The 2 percent increase 0.2 percentage points) from 7.7 percent in Fall 1935 involved 389 California high school graduates In Fall 1986, the University also enrolled 1,648 freshmen who had graduated from high schools in other states (7.6 percent of the total enrolled) and 264 from other countries (1.2 percent of the total). An additional 282 freshmen could not be classified with respect to their origin. Changes from year to year in the numbers of out-of-state and foreign students cannot be analyzed because of a decreasing number of freshmen whose high school is unknown -- from 1,218 in 1982 to 282 in 1986.

Campus differences

Although the total number of the University's freshmen from California high schools was 2 percent higher in Fall 1986 than in Fall 1985, the eight general campuses of the University experienced gains and losses far greater than this University-wide increase Display 2 below shows freshman enrollments for each campus for Fall 1982 through Fall 1986.

Five campuses had increases that ranged from 2.4 percent (77 additional freshmen) at Santa Barbara, through 12.8 percent at Irvine, 15.7 percent at Riverside, 18.9 percent at San Diego, to 21.5 percent (283 additional students) at Santa Cruz

The Berkeley, Davis, and Los Angeles campuses all

DISPLAY 2 Number of California High School Graduates Enrolled as First-Time Freshmen on Each General Campus of the University of California, Fall 1982 Through Fall 1986

Campus	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
Berkeley	2,726	3,801	3,478	3.140	2.738
Davis	2,327	2.295	2,769	2.468	2.214
Irvine	1,839	2 081	2.249	2.322	2 619
Los Angeles	3, 6 63	3.549	3,579	3,708	3,5 61
Riverside	638	722	823	951	1.090
San Diego	2,064	2,140	2,376	2,092	2,488
Santa Barbara	2,733	2,745	2,696	3.227	3,304
Santa Cruz	905	990	1,232	1,319	1,602
Total	16,895	18,323	19,202	19,227	19,610

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.



enrolled fewer first-time freshmen in Fall 1986 than in Fall 1985. The percentage decrease was greatest for Berkeley (12.8 or 402 students), but Davis had 10.3 percent or 254 fewer freshmer. The decrease for the Los Angeles campus was 4.0 reent or 147 students.

Between the 1982 and 1986 Fall term. total freshman enrollment in the University from California high schools increased 16.1 percent or 2,721 students. Among the eight general campuses, only Irvine, Riverside, and Santa Cruz grew steadily in freshman enrollments during this time. Although San Diego and Santa Barbara both enrolled significantly more freshmen in ?. 'S than in 1982, their e. rollments fluctuated from year to year. The Davis and Los Angeles campuses enrolled fewer freshmen in 1986 than in 1982, but neither experienced a steady decline; and Berkeley enrolled about the same number both grars.

Changes in the size of campus enrollments of freshmen are reflected to some extent in changes in county rates of envollment in the University, as will be seen in the next section. Freshmen tend to enroll at the campus closest to home, and the differing ability of the campuses to enroll all qualified applicants appears to continue to affect county rates in Fall 1986, together with their lack of experience in dealing with the new multiple-campus application process.

Differences among the counties

County college-going rates for the University are displayed in Appendix A, with the counties ordered in terms of numbers of high school graduates. Marin County -- with a Fall 1986 rate of 15.7 -- has consistently shown the highest University-going rate for as long as such rates have been computed. Other counties with 1986 rates of at least 10.0 are Contra Costa, Orange, San Francisco, Santa Clara, and Yolo -- all but Orange County located in northern California. Among the 31 counties with at least 1,000 high school graduates in 1985-86, nine had Fall 1986 University-going rates that were less than half the statewide rate of 7.9, the lowest being 1.8 for Merced. The other eight were Butte, El Dorado, Fresno, Imperial, Kern, Shasta, Stanislaus, and Tulare. These counties tend to cut a path through the middle c. California from Shasta in the north to Imregial in the south and include most of the Central Valley counties.

Although the statewide college-going rate for the University increased from 7.7 to 7.9 between the 1985 and 1986 Fall terms, changes in county rates varied broadly. Among the 31 counties with at least 1,000 high school graduates in 1985-86, 22 increased their rate by at least 0.1 percentage point while eight decreased theirs and one remained the same. Of the 22 that increased their rate, eight had rates above and 14 below the statewide rate of 7.9 percent. Fifteen of the 22 increases were 0.5 percentage points or less, but the largest gains were 0.8 percentage point for Fresno, 0.9 for Orange, and 1 3 for Yolo counties. Among the eight counties that experienced decreases, three had rates that were above the statewide rate of 7.9 percent, while four were below and one was at that rate. Six of the eight decreased by 0.5 percentage points or less, but San Francisco decreased by 0.8 percentage points and Santa Cruz by 1.4.

No patterns are clearly discernible among the long-term increases and decreases in county rates that are displayed in Appendix A. Counties with previous rates below the statewide percentage tend to show increases for Fall 1986, but gai. are small in terms of numbers. Fresno County's gain from 2.4 in 1982 to 3.9 in 1983 -- with the largest increase occurring between 1985 and 1986 -- may stem from creation of the University's outreach center in Fresno, but the most recent rate of 3.9 is still less than half the statewide rate, and the increase represents only 50 students from a county-wide graduating class of almost 6,000.

Changes in freshman enrollments on the various campuses do not seem to be correlated with changes in rates for counties in which the campuses are located. Although the rates for Orange and San Diego Counties and the enrollments on the Irvine and San Diego campuses all increased, the rates for Yolo, Sacramento, and Solano Counties all increased at a time when the Davis campus was enrolling fewer freshmen, and the rate for Santa Cruz County decreased while the number of freshmen at the Santa Cruz campus increased to a five-year high. The Berkeley campus reduced its freshman enrollment for Fall 1986, but changes in rates for the San Francisco Bay area counties were mixed, with San Francisco, Alameda, and Contra Costa Counties having lower rates while Marin and San Mateo had higher rates.



Changes in rates between 1985 and 1986 are difficult to explain, but 11 of the 31 counties with at least 1,000 high school graduates showed a steady increase in rates between 1982 and 1986 -- Fresno, Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, Sonoma, Stanislaus, Tulare, and Ventura. On the other hand, Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Francisco Counties -- all in the Bay area and with relatively high rates -- have shown a steady decline over the past four years. Rates for counties with fewer than 2,500 high school graduates each year tend to fluctuate because of the effects of only two or three students on the rate, but fluctuations may also be noted for some of the largest counties -- for example, Riverside, Sacramento, and San Bernardino, all with rates below the statewide percentage.

Rates for public and private schools

In Fall 1986, 15.6 percent of the University's freshmen who were California high school graduates were from private high schools, although such

schools accounted for only 9.3 percent of all California high school graduates in 1985-86. These percentages are smaller than those in Fall 1985, when 16.9 percent of the freshmen had graduated from private schools and their graduates comprised 10.9 percent of all graduates. However, the Riverside, San Diego, and Santa Cruz campuses enrolled larger numbers of private high school graduates in 1986 than in 1985, and the remaining five campuses enrolled fewer.

Looked at another way, the University-going rate for private high school graduates in 1986 was 1..0, compared with a 7.2 rate for public school graduates and a 7.9 rate for all California graduates. Each of these rates is higher than the rate found for 1985.

Public and private school rates are shown in Display 3 below for the 1984, 1985, and 1986 Fall terms for the seven counties with the largest numbers of private school graduates. The rate is higher for private than public schools in each county, but the differences are largest for Alameda, Santa Clara, and San Francisco, and near zero for Orange County. Caution is suggested in interpreting these county percentages because of possible gaps in private school information for 1985-86 graduates

DISPLAY 3 Percentage of Public and Private High School Graduates from Selected Counties Enrolling as Freshman at the University of California in the 1984, 1985, and 1986 Fall Terms

	<u>Fall 1984</u>		<u>Fall</u>	1985	Fall 1	1986
County	Public	<u>Private</u>	<u>Public</u>	<u>Private</u>	<u>Public</u>	Private
Alameda	7.7%	14 7%	7.3%	14.3%	6 .5%	16.5%
Los Angeles	7.3	13 3	7.7	14.1	7.9	12.2
Orange	8.7	10 9	9 .0	11.5	9.9	10.7
Sacramento	5.4	12.7	5.3	13.2	5.7	11.8
San Diego	7.9	10.2	8.2	8.5	8.4	13. 6
San Francisco	9.8	15.1	9.2	15.2	7.8	14.7
Santa Clara	8.8	13.8	9.5	14.7	9.1	17.5
All Counties	6.9	12.8	7.1	12.7	7.2	12.0

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.



University-going rates of different ethnic groups

Data on the ethnicity of California's high school graduates is presently available only from public schools. Therefore, University-going rates for the various ethnic groups have been computed for only this sub-group of University freshmen, who constituted 90 percent of the State's high school graduates in 1985-86. The rates range from 3.4 percent for Hispanic students, with 1,481 freshmen who graduated from public high schools, up to 17.9 percent for Asian students, with 3,390 freshmen. Between these two extremes are Black students with a rate of 4.3 percent and 774 freshmen, American Indian str. dents with a rate of 6.6 percent and 109 freshmen, non-Hispanic white students with a rate of 6.4 and 8,973 freshmen, and Filipino students with a rate of 11.9 and 586 freshmen.

The University enrolled a larger number of fresh-

men from each ethnic minority group in 1986 than in 1985 but a smaller number of non-Hispanic white students. The University-going rate also increased for all groups except white and Filipino graduates of public high schools. The largest percentage gain was made by Asian students (13.9 percent more ireshmen while white students decreased 4.8 percent).

In the absence of complete ethnic data, another way to look at ethnic differences involves the computation of percentages of University freshmen from public versus private high schools. California public schools are the main source of the University's Asian freshmen, with 93.5 percent of them in Fall 1986 coming from such schools. At the opposite extreme, only 72.9 percent of the University's Filipino freshmen graduated from public rather than private high schools. Among other ethnic groups, 76.6 percent of the University's Hispanic freshmen were public school graduates, as were 79.9 percent of its Black freshmen, 84.0 percent of its white freshmen, and 86.5 percent of its American Indian freshmen.



4

California State University Participation Rates

THE first-time freshman enrollment rate in the California State University increased from 7.5 percent of California's high school graduates in Fall 1975 to 9.0 percent in Fall 1980 and then remained virtually stable at 8.9 or 9.0 for five years. Then it rose to 10.0 percent in Fall 1985 and to 10.2 percent in Fall 1986. The 1.6 percent gain between 1985 and 1986 involved an increase of 419 freshmen.

Campus differences

Display 4 below shows the number of first-time freshmen from California high schools enrolled on each of the State University's 19 campuses between Fall 1982 and 1986. Of the 19 campuses, 12 experienced increases in freshman enrollment between Fall 1985 and Fall 1986; six had decreases; and one remained the same.

DISTLAY 4 Number of California High School Graduates Enrolled as First-Tim? Freshmen on Each Campus of the California State University, Fall 1982 Through Fall 1986

Campus	<u>1982</u>	1983	1984	<u>19 5</u>	<u> 1986</u> ·
Bakersfield	193	160	206	263	256
Chico	1,266	1,207	1,067	1,198	1,377
Dominguez Hills	308	32 3	302	259	359
Fresno	1,343	1,308	1,177	1,216	1,216
Fullerton	2,079	1,928	1,734	2,009	1,979
Hayward	726	68 8	6 58	623	648
Humboldt	576	462	417	510	570
Long Beach	2,564	2,344	2,020	2,535	2,922
Los Angeles	969	1,006	969	1,061	1,107
Northridge	2,910	2,674	2,783	2,917	2,700
Pomona	1,826	1,600	1,752	1,646	1,660
Sacramento	1,294	1,310	1,281	1,436	1,286
San Bernardino	241	300	320	3 8 4	484
San Diego	2,591	2,881	3.186	3,608	3,754
San Francisco	1,306	1,253	1,386	1,491	1,527
San Jose	1,962	1,672	1,386	1,774	1,770
San Luis Obispo	1,454	1,645	1,849	1,711	1,482
Sonoma	210	253	226	240	247
Stanislaus	260	236	240	225	281
Total	24,018	23,250	22,959	25,106	25.525

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.

BW 3



The increases ranged in size from less than 1 percent for Pomona to almost 40 percent for Dominguez Hills, which enrolled the fourth smallest number of new freshmen in Fall 1986. Other notable increases occurred at San Bernardino (26.0 percent) and Stanislaus (24.9 percent) — two of the five smallest campuses in terms of numbers of new freshmen — and Long Beach (15.3 percent) — now with the second largest number of freshmen.

The decreases ranged from less than 1.0 for San Jose State to 10.4 for Sacramento and 13.4 for San Luis Obispo. These decreases may not reflect changes in the popularity of various campuses among high school graduates, since some campuses are trying to limit enrollments because of shortages of space while others are attempting to increase their enrollments.

The diversity in size of the 19 campuses is reflected in part by differences in their Fall 1986 new freshman enrollments — from more than 3,700 at San Diego and between 2,000 and 3 000 at Long Beach. Northridge, and Fullerton, to fewer than 500 at Bakersfield, Dominguez Hills, San Bernarding, Sonoma. and Stanislaus.

Differences among the counties

Among California's 31 counties with at least 1,000 high school graduates in 1985-86, San Francisco had the highest State University participation rate—16.9, which was the highest for this county during the 13-year period for which rates have been computed. Other counties with rates above 12.0 for the Fall 1986 term were Butte (13.9), in which the Chico campus is located: Fresno (13.7), home of the Fresno campus: and Santa Clara (13.3), the location of San Jose State University.

Six of the 31 large counties had 1986 rates that were less than half the statewide percentage of 10.2 -- the lowest being Imperial with 3 3. The next lowest were Tulare (4 0), Shasta (4 3). Santa Barbara (4.7), Monterey (4.8), and San Joaquin (5 1). Imperial. Shasta, and Tulare Counties were also among those with the lowest University of California participation rates in 1986.

Among the 31 counties, 19 experienced an increase in their State University-going rate between 1985 and 1986, and 12 had a decrease. Five of the 19 in-

creases were at least one full percentage point, eight were between 0.5 and 0.9, and six were less than 0.5. Four of the five largest increases were for counties with rates below the statewide average -- San Bernardino, Santa Cruz, Solano, and Stanislaus

Five of the 12 decreases were at least one percentage point, five were between 0.5 and 0.9 and two were less than 0.5. Only three of the counties with decreases were at or above the statewide rate for Fall 1986, while five had rates that were less than half the statewide rate.

Only two five year trends were evident in the State University rates by county -- both of them increases between 1982 and 1986. San Diego County - State University rate increased from 7 4 to 8 9, yet in 1986 it remained below the statewide percentage and was no higher than its University of California rate for the first time since rates have been computed. Placer County's State University rate rose from 4.9 to 7.2 -- also below the statewide rate but well above its University percentage for 1986.

Turning from the State Universit participation rates of the 31 counties to their combined University and State University rates, Marin County led all 31 with a combined rate of 27.2 percent, followed closely by San Francisco at 27.1. Five other counties had combined rates of 20 or higher: Yolo County, in which the Davis campus of the University is located and from which students may also commute to Sac ramento State, ranked third at 25.2. Santa Clara County ranked fourth with a total of 23.5 Orange County at 22.4 has both University and State Uni versity campuses and rates for both segments above their statewide percentages Contra Costa and Los Angeles both have rates of 20.3, but Contra Costs has no campus of either system and a higher to hive sity rate that its below-average State University rate, while Los Angeles has campuses of both systems and rates for both systems above the ristate wide averages.

At the opposite extreme, Imperial County ranked lowest among the 31 counties on its combined rate of 6.3 as well as on its State University rate of 3.3. Tulare and Shasta were second and third lowest on the combined rate, with rates of 6.9 and 7.0, respectively.

Comparing University and State University rates of the 31 counties, five counties had higher University than State University rates in 1986 - Contra Costa,



Marin, Monterey, Santa Barbara, and Yolo, only two of which are the sites of University campuses. Four counties that differ with respect to proximity to a public university campus had approximately equal University and State University rates for 1986 -- San Diego, San Mateo, Santa Cruz, and Ventura.

A positive correlatior exists between the number of high school graduates in the 31 counties and the participation rate for these counties, with four notable exceptions. Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, and Ventura Counties rank in the top ten with respect to their number of high school graduates but are at or below the median for their combined participation rate. Distance to a campus is undoubtedly a factor in the case of Riverside and San Bernardino, but both a University and a State University campus are within commuting distance for Sacramento County students and for many Ventura County students as well.

Differences between public and private schools

Private high school graduates constituted 14.1 percent of the State University's new freshmen in Fall 1986, with public school graduates comprising the remaining 85.9 percent. However, the rate of enrollment of graduates was 15.5 percent for private and

9.7 for public high schools, for an overall rate of 10.2 percent. Both rates increased over the Fall 1985 rates of 13.9 and 9.6 percent for private and public schools, respectively. However, the private/public difference in rates remained smaller than that found for the University.

State University-going rates of different ethnic groups

The State University-going rate for all public high school graduates was 9.7 percent in Fall 1986, but ranged among the six major ethnic groups from 16.8 percent for Asian students to 5.4 for Hispanic students. Between these extremes were 15.3 percent for Filipino students, 9.5 percent for American Indian students, 9.4 percent for non-Hispanic white students, and 7.3 percent for Black students.

As was noted earlier, the ethnicity of private high school graduates is not reported. However, another way of looking at public/prive eschools is to compute the proportions of private and public school graduates enrolled in the State University for each ethnic group. Among American Indian freshmen, 13 percent graduated from private schools as did 5 percent of Asian freshmen, 18 percent of Filipino freshmen, 20 percent of Hispanic freshmen, 14 percent of Black freshmen, and 14 percent of white freshmen.



5 Community College Participation Rates

THE Fall 1986 participation rate for Community Colleges appears to be the same as two years ago - 36.3 percent -- and an increase over their Fall 1985 rate of 33.0. However, incorrect or incomplete reporting by several districts leaves open the possibility that the it may have stabilized at roughly 35 percent after the major drop from 42 8 to 37.9 percent that occurred between Fall 1982 and Fall 1983.

Because of continuing flaws in freshman data for some Community Colleges and districts, county rates and changes in them from year to year are less reliable than those obtained for the University and the State University. In analyzing Community. College data, Commission staff have found five types of problems for some institutions in some years and not in others:

- 1. First-time freshmen are coded as continuing rather than new students if they have been enrolled in an orientation or other type of course offered by the Community College before the fall term, when they should be counted as new students for the first time.
- High school students enrolled concurrently in Community College courses are counted as firsttime freshmen although they are still attending high school.
- University and State University freshmen who are receiving remedial instruction from a Community College are coded as new freshmen by both institutions.
- 4. High school codes are not recorded for freshmen who graduated from schools outside the college's county or service area.
- 5. Information is missing from the college data base for new students who apply late for admission.

The first, fourth, and fifth problems appear to be most common, and the net result is an undercounting of first-time freshmen, while the second and third problems are probably growing and produce a small overcount. For these reasons, the following discussion of selected county rates mentions prob-

lems with specific college enrollment data that may affect these rates.

Los Angeles County

The Community College-going rate of Los Angeles County increased from 33 7 to 35.1 percent between Fall 1985 and Fall 1986. This increase appears to be real and includes colleges whose student bodies contain high proportions of Black and Hispanic students.

Seven of the nine coileges in the Los Angeles Community College District enrolled more new freshmen in Fall 1986 than in 1985, with a total increase of 22 percent, to more than 8,500 new students. Two colleges -- Los Angeles City and Mission -- experienced decreases in enrollment of new freshmen between 1985 and 1986, and these numbers were less than half those reported in 1982. No high school codes were provided for an additional 663 new students who may have come from Los Angeles County.

Five other Community Colleges in Los Angeles County had increases in new freshmen from the 1985 to 1986 Fall terms, five remained approximately the same, and one · Glendale -- experienced a decrease of about 6 percent. Those with increases, ranging from the smallest to the largest percent, were Rio Hondo 3 percent! Santa Monica (6 percent, but with very large numbers of students with no high school codes. Pasadena Circ 13 percent), Canyons (17 percent, and Compton (41 percent, but small and with the possibility that some of the increase represents high school students enrolled in college courses for credit). The five colleges with very little change were Antelope Valley, Cerritos, Citrus (half of whose new students had no high school code), El Camino, and Mt. San Antonio (also with poor coding of the geographic origins of its new students).

Unfortunately, Long Beach City College has been underreporting new freshmen from Los Angeles



County for at least two years, which has led to underestimates of the Community College-going rate for Los Angeles County as a whole. Its count of new freshmen dropped by almost 50 percent from Fall 1984 to Fall 1985 and by 26 percent between 1985 and 1986, but it may have failed to count some new freshmen even before 1984, according to campus administrators.

County was computed between 1981 and 1985 because of data problems with three of the four districts and six of the seven colleges in the county. Problems persist into 1985 for the Foothill-De Anza District, with new freshmen uncoded and thus undercounted.

Orange County

The higher-than-statewide rate for Orange County decreased from 40.0 to 39.9 percent between Fall 1985 and Fall 1986. Four colleges had approximately the same freshman enrollments both years — Cypress, Golden West, Rancho Santiago, and Saddleback — but Orange Coast, in the same district as Golden West, had an increase of 5 percent; Fullerton, in the same district as Cypress, was down 11 percent; and Irvine Valley, in the same district as Saddleback, was down 18 percent. Coastline, a nontraditional college in the Coast district, probably enrolled fewer young freshmen in the Fall 1986 term, but data for 1985 were incomplete.

Alameda County

The Community College going rate for Alameda County decreased from 34 7 to 31 9 percent between Fall 1985 and Fall 1986. The decline is in the number of Alameda County high school graduates enrolling in any California Community College, rather than in those of the Peralta and South County Community College Districts, where enrollments of new freshmen increased 8 percent from 1985 to 1986. The growth took place at Chabot and Laney Colleges, while Alameda, Feather River, Merritt, and Vista experienced decreases. The number of Alameda County high school graduates increased 4 percent between 1984-85 and 1985-86, perhaps as a result of some students needing an additional term or two to complete new graduation requirements.

San Diego County

San Diego County's increase from 36.2 to 38.9 percent between the 1985 and 1986 Fall terms stems primarily from gains in colleges outside the San Diego Community College District, where data are probably contaminated by high school and State University students concurrently enrolled in Community College courses. Unfortunately, freshman data from several San Diego County colleges has been suspect or missing in the past, and computed gains and losses between 1985 and 1986 do not appear to merit further analysis beyond the generalization that the County continues to show a higher than statewide rate for Community College freshmen.

San Bernardino County

San Bernardino County had a computed decrease in its Community College-going rate between Fall 1985 and Fall 1986 of 7.0 percentage points to 30.2 percent, which is the lowest in the 13-year period for which rates have been computed. However, data from the two San Bernardino District colleges appear to be faulty in that they indicate a combined decrease of about 40 percent. Barstow and Victor Valley Colleges showed small increases, while Chaffey College registered a 7 percent decrease.

Santa Clara County

No Community College-going rate for Santa Clara

Sacramento County

The Community College-going rate for Sacramento County increased by 1.7 percentage points between Fall 1985 and Fall 1986 to 40.5 percent, after having decreased to 37.6 in Fall 1984. Sacramento County has a single three-college district -- Los Rios -- whose



freshman enrollment increased 14 percent over the Fall 1985 term, while the number of Sacramento County high school graduates increased 4 percent.

Contra Costa County

The Community College-going rate for Contra Costa County increased to 50.4 percent for Fall 1986, with an increase of 20 percent in the Contra Costa District colleges' new freshman enrollment. High school graduates increased 2 percent between 1985 and 1986, and in 1985-86 more than 1,000 high school students were enrolled concurrently in one of the three Community Colleges — an increase of about 70 percent over 1984-85. It is possible that some of the increase in new freshmen is actually in high school students who have not yet graduated.

Riverside County

Riverside County's Fall 1985 Community Collegegoing rate was computed at only 26.1 percent, compared with 33.9 percent for Fall 1984 and 33.3 for Fall 1986 because of what appeared to be poor data for Riverside City College. Its reported Fall 1985 enrollment of 778 new freshmen — 46 percent fewer than in previous years — increased by 63 percent to 1,268 for the Fall 1986 term. Data from the College of the Desert also shows changes in freshman enrollment that were larger than expected — a three-year loss of 38 percent to 413 new freshmen in 1985, then a return in 1986 to the 1982 level A slight loss was found for Palo Verde College, which had 114 new freshmen in 1986.

Ventura County

The Community College-going rate for Ventura County increased from 39.2 to 39.5 percent at a time when the county's University and State University-going rates have been increasing. New freshman enrollment in the three-college Ventura District increased 9 percent from Fall 1985 to Fall 1986, but the number of graduates from Ventura County high schools remained about the same.

San Mateo County

The three-college San Mateo District probably increased its new freshman enrollment again from 1985 to 1986, although the 1986 county participation rate fell 0.2 percentage point to 49.2 percent -- still one of the highest in the State. The decrease in rate is all the more surprising in that the number of high school graduates in 1985-86 decreased another 6 percent in San Mateo schools. However, the college enrollments may include some high school students enrolled in Community College courses.

Fresno County

The Community College-going rate for Fresno County decreased 0.1 percentage points to 36.4 percent between Fall 1985 and Fall 1986, at a time when rates for the University and the State University were increasing for Fresno County high school graduates and the number of these graduates remained about the same. Community College enrollments were quite stable, with Fresno City and West Hills Colleges enrolling more freshmen than in Fall 1985 and Kings River College enrolling fewer, for a net increase of 20 students.

San Francisco County

The number of graduates from San Francisco high schools decreased 4 percent from 1984-85 to 1985-86, and their Community College-going rate decreased 8.8 percentage points to 26.7 percent for reasons that are not easily found. The number of new freshmen at San Francisco City College decreased by 3 percent between Fall 1985 and Fall 1986 to 1,794, which is the lowest in the five year period from 1982 to 1986.

Kern County

Kern County's number of high school graduates and Community College participation rate both increased from 1985 to 1986. Its Community College-going rate increased 4.3 percentage points to 41.8 percent. Bakersfield College accounted for much of this growth, with a 13 percent increase in new freshmen to a total of 1,313 recent high school graduates.



Cerro Coso and Taft Colleges, among the smallest Community Colleges in the State, also enrolled more freshmen in 1986 than 1985 - 63 percent more at Cerro Coso, to 226, and 29 percent fewer at Taft, to 126.

graduated from high school in other counties rather than in Santa Barbara County, or to high school students enrolled concurrently.

Santa Barbara County

The Community College-going rate for Santa Barbara County high school graduates increased by 5.3 percentage points to 44.5 percent between Fall 1985 and Fall 1986, with a 5 percent increase in new freshmen at Santa Barbara City College and an uncertain increase at Allan Hancock College because of previous reporting problems. The county's number of high school graduates remained about the same. Thus the colleges' increases are apparently due to the enrollment of more new freshmen who

Comments

Analysis of the county rates and Community College freshman enrollments for the 15 largest counties, with 82 percent of the 1985-86 high school graduates, shows serious problems with the Community College data that make trend analysis and assessment of change difficult. Rates should be more reliable for these counties than for those with less than 5,000 graduates, but in many cases they are not because of poor data from some Community Colleges

The statewide rate of 36.3 for the Fall 1986 term seems credible, but many county rates are not, for reasons set forth at the beginning of this section.



6

Independent Institution Participation Rates

THE rate of participation of high school graduates in California's independent colleges and universities increased by 12 percent from 3.0 to 3.4 percent between Fall 1985 and Fall 1986 However, since this rate decreased by 9 percent a year earlier, this increase may be attributed in part to better reporting and changes in the composition of the group of insti-

tutions that reported enrollment data. When the 29 institutions that reported in both 1985 and 1986 are compared, the increase is less than 1 percent.

A list of the 43 independent colleges and universities that provided data for Fall 1986 is shown in Display 5 below, together with the numbers of their firsttime freshmen who were recent California high

DISPLAY 5 Numbers of Recent California High School Graduates Enrolling as Fust-Time Freshmen in 43 Regionally Accredited California Independent Colleges and Universities, Fall 1986

Institution	Number	Institution	Number
Azusa Pacific University	260	Pacific Union College	289
Biola University	222	Patten College	3
California Baptist College	67	Pepperdine University	284
California College for Developmental Studies	3	Pitzer College	83
California College of Arts and Crafts	48	Point Loma Nazarene College	303
California Institute of Technology	68	Pomona College	175
California Lutheran College	221	Saint Mary's College	323
Chapman College	192	Samuel Merritt College of Nursing	8
Claremont McKenna College	122	San Francisco Conservatory of Music	9
Cogswell College	4	Santa Clara University	603
College of Notre Dame	69	Scripps College	74
Dominican College of San Rafael	33	Simpson College	41
Fresno Pacific College	63	Southern California College	85
Harvey Mudd College	64	Stanford University	602
Holy Names College	23	University of the Pacific	459
Loyola Marymount University	671	University of San Diego	429
The Master's College	97	University of San Francisco	72
Marymount College, Palos Verdes	225	University of Southern California	1,427
Menlo College	76	Westmont College	211
Mills College	98	Whittier College	133
Mount Saint Mary's College	178	Woodbury University	44
Occidental College	225	Total	8,686

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.



school graduates. Numbers for previous years are not shown because of inexplicable fluctuations from year to year.

Reporting for the 1986 Fall term was more complete and accurate than in past years because of the strong support for the effort by the Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities and also because institutions now expect and prepare for this annual request for information, which includes the sex and ethnicity of students as well as their high school of origin.

Differences among institutions

In both 1985 and 1986, 18 independent institutions enrolled at least 100 new freshmen who were California high school graduates. Ten of the 18 enrolled more in 1986 than in 1985, seven enrolled fewer, and one enrolled approximately the same number both years. The University of Southern California accounted for almost 19 percent of the freshmen from

California high schools but enrolled almost 10 percent fewer such students in Fall 1986 than in 1985.

Sex and ethnicity

Information about the sex and ethnicity of freshmen in the independent institutions was available for 64 percent of the group. The proportions of men and women are 43 percent men and 57 percent women -- a larger difference than at public universities.

The proportion of white students enrolling as freshmen in the independent institutions (74 percent) is also higher than in the public universities, with a comparatively low enrollment of Asian (9.6), Filipino (0.8), and American Indian students (0.3). The proportion of Hispanic students (10.9) is about equal to that for the public universities, while the proportion of Black students (4.3) is slightly lower. The proportions of Black men and women are the same, but the proportion of Hispanic women (12 3) is higher than that of Hispanic men (9.0).



7

Differences in Enrollment of Men and Women

Sex differences among high school graduates and University freshmen

The sex of public high school graduates in 1985-86 is known - a ratio of 49.0 percent men to 51.0 percent women -- but that of private school graduates is unknown.

Among public school graduates, both the number and the rate for women enrolling as freshmen in the University and State University in Fall 1986 was higher than those for men. At the University, the rate for women was 7.4 and the rate for men was 7.1. In the State University, the rate for women was 10.5, compared to 8.9 for men.

The number and percent of first-time freshmen by sex for each major ethnic group as of Fall 1986 are shown for each county and segment in Appendix B on pages 43-65, together with public high school ethnicity data for students who graduated in 1985-86.

University of California analysis

In 1977, women constituted only 49 percent of the University's new freshmen, while men were in the majority with 51 percent. In the 1984, 1985, and 1986 Fall terms, however, men constituted 48 percent of new freshman and women 52 percent.

Although women are a majority of the University freshmen statewide, their representation varies across the eight general campuses. Their proportion is largest on the Los Angeles and Santa Cruz cam-

puses (54 and 55 percent, respectively), and smallest at Berkeley and San Diego (47 and 48 percent, respectively).

State University analysis

In the 1984, 1985, and 1986 Fall terms, men accounted for 45 and women 55 percent of the new State University freshmen who were California high school graduates. The proportions varied among the 19 campuses, however, with the San Jose campus having equal proportions of freshman men and women; the Humboldt, Pomona, and San Luis Obispo campuses enrolling more men than women; and the rest enrolling more women than men. The campuses with the largest proportion of women were Los Angeles, San Francisco, Hayward, and Sonoma -- each with at least 62 percent -- and Bakersfield, with 60 percent.

Community College analysis

Men comprised 49 percent of the new Community College freshmen in Fall 1986, almost the same proportion as in Fall 1985. The ratio of Asian men to women remained 55 to 45, as it had been in 1985. The only other ethnic group with more men than women among Community College freshmen in 1986 was Filipino, with a ratio of 52 to 48. The ratio for Black, Hispanic, and white freshmen was about 48 percent men to 52 percent women, while proportions of American Indian students were equal.



Ethnic Distribution of Students

Public high school graduates

As shown in Display 6 on page 22, the ethnic composition of California's public high school graduates has changed during the past seven years, with the proportions of non-Hispanic white graduates and Black graduates decreasing, American Indian graduates remaining constant, and Asian, Filipino, and Hispanic graduates increasing. The proportion of Black graduates increased slightly in 1983-84 (to 8.7 percent) but decreased again in 1984-85 to 8.4 percent and in 1985-86 to 8.0. Filipino graduates -the second smallest ethnic group -- more than doubled their proportion between 1978-79 and 1985-86 to 2.2, followed by Asian graduates, with an 83 percent increase to 8.4, and Hispanic graduates, with a 27 percent increase to 19.0. Because of the overall decrease in the number of high school graduates during the past several years, there were fewer Hispanic graduates in 1985-86 chan in 1983-84, but larger numbers of American Indian, Asian, and Filipino graduates. Numbers of Black and non-Hispanic white graduates also declined as a function of their lower representation in the 1985-86 class.

Caution needs to be exercised in interpreting these changes in the ethnicity of high school graduates for several reasons:

- First, ethnic information is available only for the public schools and little is known about changes in the ethnic distribution of private school graduates.
- Second, rates of high school graduation and college enrollment differ for men and women in different ethnic groups and data sources and availability vary from year to year.
- Finally, the proportions of various ethnic groups in the high school graduating class differ from those in the high school population generally, because of differing rates of attrition. Community College-going rates for some ethnic groups thus might be inflated since high school dropouts at least 18 years of age may enroll as regular students in a Community College.

University of California

Ethnic distributions of first-time freshmen enrolled at the University in Fall 1979, 1981, 1984, 1985, and 1986 are also shown in Display 6. The following observations are based on the data in that display.

Differences among ethnic groups

- 1. The percentage of non-Hispanic white freshmen has decreased, from 73 8 in 1979 to 58.9 in 1986, with a decrease of 3.1 percentage points from 1985.
- The percentage of Asian students in the collegegoing group increased from 13 5 to 21 9 between 1979 and 1986, with an increase of 2 3 percentage points over 1985.
- After dropping between 1979 and 1981 to 60, the
 percentage of Hispanic freshman has been increasing most years, to a high of 96 in 1986. The
 percentages for both men and women increased
 from 1985 to 1986.
- 4. The percentage of Black freshman increased from 4.4 to 5.6 between 1979 and 1984 but dropped to 4.8 in 1985 and then increased to 5.1 in 1986.
- 5. The percentage of Filipino students increased between 1979 and 1986 from a low of 1.7 to 3.8, with a slower rate of growth since 1982 than in the first few years.
- 6. American Indian students -- the smallest ethnic group -- increased their percentage from 0.2 to 0.7 between 1979 and 1984 and this percentage has remained quite stable since then.

Combining data for men and women masks certain changes for the various ethnic groups during this eight-year period. The decrease for Black students between 1984 and 1985 was a function of a drop in the proportion of Black women in the freshman class from 7.0 to 5.9, at a time when the proportion of Black men was quite stable — varying only 0.1 percentage point from year to year between 1983 and the present. At the same time, the overall increase

DISPLAY 6 Ethnic Distribution of Public High School Graduates and of First-Time College and University Freshmen, Selected Years Between 1979 and 1986

Segment	Year	· Sex	White	Black	<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Filipino</u>	American <u>Indian</u>
High School	1979	Men Women	69.7% 69.3	9.0% 9.5	15.0% 15.0	4.7% 4.5	0.9% 1.0	0.7% 0.7
	1981	Men Women	69.2 68.8	7.8 8.6	15.7 15.7	5.2 4.9	1.3 1.3	0.8 0.7
	1984	Men Women	63.8 6 3 .3	8.2 9.2	18.0 18.3	7.5 6.8	1.7 1.7	0.8 0.7
	1985	Total	6 2 .2	8.4	18.6	8.0	2.0	0.8
	1986	Men Women	61.9 61.5	7.6 8.4	18.8 19.3	8.8 7.9	2.2 2.2	0.7 0.7
University of California	1979	Men Women	74.0 73.6	3.3 5.4	6.9 5.9	13.9 13.0	1.6 1.9	0.3 0.2
	1981	Men Women	71.1 70.7	3.4 6.0	6.7 5.4	15.8 14.7	2.5 2.8	0.4 0.4
	1983	Men Women	66.7 65.4	3.8 6.4	7.7 7.9	18.3 16.3	2.9 3.7	0.6 0.3
	1984 .	Men Women	64.0 63.7	3.9 7.0	9.6 8.7	18.4 16.2	3.5 3 .8	0.6 0.6
	1985	Men Women	62.8 61.2	3.7 5.9	8.9 9.6	20.6 18.8	3.4 3.9	0.6 0.6
	1986	. Men Women	59.4 58.4	3.8 6.2	9.4 9.9	23.2 20.8	3.6 4.0	0.6 0.7
The California State University	1983	Men Women	66.6 62.7	5.6 7.3	11.9 11.4	12.4 10.8	2.9 2.9	0.8 0.7
	1984	Men Women	65.8 64.5	5.2 8.2	11.7 11.6	13.7 11.4	3.0 3.4	0.6 0.9
	1985	Men Women	63.9 62.7	5.9 7.3	10.7 11.5	15.8 14.2	2.9 3.3	0.8 1.0
California	1986	Men Women	62.2 63.5	5.3 7.0	11.2 11.1	17.1 13.9	3.4 3.7	0.8 0.8
California Colleges	1979	Men Women	69.1 69.9	10.5 10.8	12.8 12.9	4.7 3.6	1.3 1.2	1.6 1.6
	1981	Men Women	65.3 65.7	9.7 10.5	16.6 16.9	4.8 3.5	1.6 1.5	2.0 1.9
	1983	Men Women	65.4 65.9	9.4 10.6	14.7 15.3	6.7 4.6	2.2 2.1	1.6 1.5
	1984	Men Women	64.6 64.7	8.7 9.9	15.9 16.3	6.8 5.3	2.5 2.3	1.5 1.5
	1985	Men Women	64.6 65.0	8.0 9.3	15.7 16.0	7.9 6.0	2.3 2.3	1.5 1.4
	1986	Men Women	63.2 64.1	8.2 9.0	16.6 17.1	7.9 6.2	2.5 2.2	1.6 1.4

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.

for Hispanic students was largely a function of the increase for women - from 5.9 to 9.9 during the eight-year period, while the percentage of men increased from 6.9 to 9.4. An analysis of changes for Asian students shows that the percentage of both men and women in the ethnic distribution of freshmen increased more between 1979 and 1986 than for any other ethnic group, but with the percentage of women still smaller than that of men. Thus Asian, Hispanic, and Filipino women all appear to be making gains, while Black women are decreasing their percentage in the University's freshman class.

A comparison of the ethnic distribution for 1985-86 high school graduates and Fall 1986 University freshmen shows that Asian and Filipino freshmen were "overrepresented" in the latter group in that their percentage among freshmen was larger than among high school graduates. Among Asian students, the ratio of University freshmen to high school graduates was 2.6 to 1. Black and Hispanic freshmen, on the other hand, were "underrepresented" as University freshmen in that their percentages were smaller than among high school graduates. American Indian freshmen were about equally represented in the high school graduate and freshman ethnic distributions but remained less than 1 percent of each distribution.

Differences among University campuses

Non-Hispanic white students on the Berkeley and Los Angeles campuses in Fall 1986 constituted less than 50 percent of new freshmen whose ethnicity was known, and they accounted for about 50 percent on the Irvine campus. The Santa Barbara campus again had the largest proportion of non-Hispanic white students among its freshmen (77 percent), foilowed by Santa Cruz (74 percent). At Berkeley, Asian freshmen constituted 22 percent of new freshmen; Hispanic students constituted 15 percent: and Black students, 9 percent. The comparable percentages for the Los Angeles campus were 22, 18 and 9. Proportions of Hispanic freshmen on both campuses and the proportions of Asian students on the Los Angeles campus increased from 1985 to 1986, with proportions of other groups remaining about the same both years.

The California State University

Ethnic distributions for first-time freshmen in the California State University for the 1982 through 1986 Fall terms are also shown in Display 6. Data for earlier years are not displayed because of the low rates of response by students on some campuses with large enrollments of ethnic minorities.

Differences among ethnic groups

Compared with the University, the State University enrolls larger proportions of Black and Hispanic freshmen, smaller proportions of Asian and Filipino freshmen, and about the same proportions of American Indian freshmen. During the five-year period from 1982 through 1986, its percentage of Asian and Filipino freshmen increased the most, while the percentage of Black students of both sexes decreased, and that of Hispanic students rose and fell from year to year, with the proportions for both Hispanic men and women lower in the 1986 than the 1982 Fall term.

With the exception of Filipino women, percentages of women freshmen from ethnic minority groups decreased between the 1985 and 1986 Fall terms by 1.2 percentage points to 32.8 percent of the total group. Increases for Asian and Filipino men totaled 1.8 percentage points. The percentage of Hispanic men also increased from 10.7 to 11 2, or about equal to Hispanic women — while the percentage of Black men continued to decrease — from 5.9 to 5.3, well below that of Black women.

Differences among State University campuses

On six State University campuses, non-Hispanic white students constituted at least 75 percent of new freshmen in Fall 1986: Chico, 89 percent; Humboldt, 86 percent: San Luis Obispo, 77 percent; Sacramento and San Diego, both 76 percent; and Stanislaus, 75 percent.

On four other campuses, non-Hispanic white students were in the minority. On the Los Angeles campus, where these students constituted 11 percent of all new freshmen in Fall 1986, Asian students accounted for 37 percent, Hispanic students for 35 percent, Black students for 11 percent, and Filipino



students for 6 percent. At Dominguez Hills, white freshmen constituted 23 percent of the total; at Hayward, 48 percent; and at San Francisco, 39 percent. Asian students -- the largest ethnic minority group among all State University freshmen in 1986 -- were also the largest on eight campuses: Fullerton, Hayward, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Northridge, Pomona, San Francisco, and San Jose. Hispanic freshmen -- the second largest minority group -- were a larger group than Asian students on eight campuses -- Bakersfield, Chico, Fresno, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Luis Obispo, and Stanislaus. Black students were the largest minority group on three campuses -- Dominguez Hills, Humboldt, and Sonoma -- all of which enrolled a relatively small number of new freshmen.

California Community Colleges

The percentage of Community College students enrolling as freshmen in Fall 1986 who were white -63.8 percent of the total - was 2.1 percentage points higher than their percentage in the 1985-86 high school graduating class. The percentage of Hispanic Community College freshmen increased (by 1.0 percentage point to 16.8 percent), as it did among high school graduates (by 0.4 to 19.0 percent). Changes for each of the other four minority groups were less than 9.1, and Hispanic and Black students were still "underrepresented" among Community College freshmen.

A comparison of increases and decreases for men and women in the various minority groups shows a gain of 0.2 and a loss of 0.3 percentage points for Black men and women between the 1985 and 1986 Fall terms, to 8.2 and 9.0 percent, respectively, with both groups below their percentages of 10 5 and 10 8 in 1979. This decrease mirrors in part the decrease in the number of Black high school graduates during this period but also reflects a decreasing rate of college-going for this ethnic group.

A comparison of proportions of Hispanic men and women shows that both have been increasing since 1979, with the proportion of men larger than that of women each year, but not as large as the difference found each year between Black men and women.

Among Asian students, the proportion of men remains higher than that of women, as it does in the

distributions for the University and State University; and proportions for both sexes have been increasing since 1979.

A comparison of changes in proportions for Filipino men and women over time shows patterns very like those described for Asian students although the former are a much smaller group.

Proportions for American Indian freshmen -- the smallest ethnic minority group -- have remained stable over time and are about the same for men and women.

The combined segments

Information about the ethnicity of all freshmen in the three public segments of higher education appears at the end of Appendix B on page 65, together with public high school graduate information. Rates of enrollment for the various ethnic groups range from 39.9 for Hispanic freshmen to an inexplicably high 87.2 for American Indian freshmen, with a rate of 52.1 for all ethnic groups combined. (Inclusion of private high school graduates, whose ethnicity is not known, increases the rate to 54.4, as shown on page 42 of Appendix A.) Between the extremes in rates are 64.2 for Asian students, 64.0 for Filipino students, 52.5 for non-Hispanic white students, and 49.4 for Black students.

That part of the rate attributable to Community College enrollment may be inflated by the inclusion of some students who dropped out of high school but are regularly enrolled as freshmen and others who are enrolled in Community College courses while still attending high school. Another factor to be considered in evaluating the rates for the various ethnic groups is their high school dropout rate. In other words, rates for the underrepresented ethnic groups would probably be lower if they were based on an age cohort, rather than on high school graduates.

Another way of looking at differences among ethnic groups is to compare the distribution of high school graduates with that of all freshmen in public higher education, again as shown at the end of Appendix B. The comparison shows once again that Hispanic youth are the major underrepresented group at the freshman level, followed by Black youth, and that Asian youth are in a sense overrepresented, followed by white, American Indian, and Filipino youth.



Differences among the four largest ethnic groups are also evidenced by the percentages enrolling in Community Colleges rather than public universities in 1985 – 77.8 percent of the Hispanic, 76.6 percent of the Black, 69.5 percent of the white, and 45.9 percent of the Asian freshmen in the Community Colleges. In contrast, 27.8 percent of the Asian youth going to public institutions enroll in the University and 26.3 percent in the State University; 12.5 per-

cent of the white youth in the University and 18.0 percent in the State University; 8.7 percent of the Black youth in the University and 14.7 percent in the State Ur' resity; and 8.7 percent of the Hispanic youth in the University and 13.5 percent in the State University. Thus only Asian youth are more heavily enrolled as freshmen in the public universities than the Community Colleges and in the University of California than the California State University.



BASED on data provided by the State Department of Education, the University, the State University, the Community Colleges, and individual independent colleges and universities, the computed rate of freshman enrollment of recent California high school graduates was higher for each segment of postsecondary education and verall in Fall 1986 than in Fall 1985 and, when the rates are combined, was the highest since 1982 However, the finding needs to be interpreted with caution because of data problems which may have either under- or overestimated the rates:

- Changes in the high school codes assigned by the Department of Education during the past year that have produced confusion and may have resulted in an underestimate of 1985-86 graduates, particularly from private schools;
- 2. A continuing refusal of Community Colleges to supply high school codes for all recent high school graduates enrolling as first-time freshmen; and
- 3. The inclusion of high school students enrolled in college courses and University students enrolled for remedial work in the definition of first-time freshmen in the Community Colleges, and the exclusion of new freshmen who earned some college credit before high school graduation

All evidence points to an increasing rate of enrollment of California high school graduates of the public universities, although increases did not take place on all campuses or at the same rate of change. It also seems evident that the overall rate of enrollment of California students in regionally accredited colleges and universities is quite stable, although numbers change from year to year for particular institutions and appear to increase as a function of better reporting.

The Community Colleges appear to be gaining in regard to the enrollment of recent high school graduates, but the data from some colleges -- many of them large institutions -- is contaminated to the extent that changes from year to year make little sense. A major problem appears to be the inclusion of high school students as freshman one year and their ex-

clusion the following year if they enroll as regular students at the college. The problem is compounded when the college "fixes" the problem one year but reverts to old practices a year or two later. While the use of Community College instructional resources by both high schools and baccalaureate institutions is a commendable educational practice, failure to adapt coding structures to this phenomenon leads to meaningless college-going rates.

Changes in ethnic distributions

The most notable gairs in representation continue to be made by Asian high school graduates, particularly in their enrollment as freshmen in the University and the State University Similar gains are being made by Filipino graduates, who are much fewer in number than Asians. The increase in these groups has produced the surprising finding that white non-Hispanic graduates of public high schools were "underrepresented" in the University for the first time in the 1986 Fall term. The preference of Asian and Filipino students for attending baccalaureate institutions as freshmen also led to the Community Colleges having the lowest overall representation of ethnic minority groups in the 1986 freshman class. However, more than three fourths of all Black and Hispanic freshmen enrolled in the public segments in 1986 were in the Community Colleges

Men and women in the various ethnic minority groups differ in their rate of enrollment and proportion in the freshmar distributions, and changes from year to year suggest that some outreach programs may be more successful with one sex than the other in a particular ethnic group. For example, the differences in rates for Black men and women are consistent across time and segments, but the rate for women may now be decreasing so as to lower the overall rate more than might be expected from changes in their proportion in the distribution of high school graduates. More attention needs to be paid to these sex and ethnic differences as the reporting of ethnicity improves.



APPENDIX A

Percentages of Recent High School Graduates Enrolling in Each Segment of California Higher Education by County and Year, 1974, 1976, 1978, and 1980-1986

Percentage Enrolling as Freshmen								
County	Year	Number of fligh School Graduates	<u>UC</u>	<u>csu</u>	ccc	Inde- pendent	Total Public	Grand Total
Los Ang	geles							
	1974	90,817	5.7%	8.6%	38.5%	N.A	52.8%	N.A
•	1976	88,607	6.0	9.7	36.1	N.A	51.8	N.A
	1978	83,753	6.4	10.8	41.3	4.3%	58.5	62.8%
	1'980	79,38 9	6.5	10.5	41.9	4.8	58.9	63.7
	1981	72,74 7	7.4	10.7	45 .1	4.2	63.3	67.5
	1982	76,814	7.2	10.7	41.0	4.4	58.9	63 .3
	1983	76,81:4	7.6	10.2	37.0	4.3	54.8	59 .1
	1984	75,213	8.1	10.0	34.2	4.0	52.3	5 6.3
	1985	72,720	8.6	11.3	3 2 .7	3.4	52.6	56.0
	1986	72,048	8.7	11.6	35.1	4.3	55.4	59.7
Orange								
	1974	25,206	5.3	7.7	45.3	N.A	58.3	N.A
	1976	27 ,200	5.2	7.9	46.1	N.A	59.2	N.A
	1978	26,558	5.5	8.5	42.5	2.7	56.5	59.2
	1980	25,342	6.2	10.0	50.4	2.5	66.6	69.1
	1981	26,319	6.7	10.2	47.3	3.1	64.3	€7.4
	1982	25,604	7.1	10.4	46.6	3.3	64.1	67.4
	1983	25,196	8.4	10.0	41.8	3.4	60.2	63.6
	1984	24,244	8.9	9.6	40.3	3.0	58.7	61.7
	1985	23,956	9.2	11.4	40.0	4.5	60.6	65.0
	1986	22,567	10.1	12.3	39.9	3.9	62.3	66.2
San Die	go			•				
	1974	20,456	5.0	6.6	40.9	N.A	52.5	N.A
	1976	19,547	5.4	6.3	46.4	N.A	58.1	N.A
	1978	21,323	6.1	6.6	42.5	3.6	55.2	58.8
	1980	20,553	6.0	8.8	45.7	3.3	60.5	63.8
	1981	20,099	6.9	7.8	39.5	3.5	54.2	57.7
	1982	20,582	6.9	7.4	35.7	3.6	50.0	53.6
	1983	20,652	7.2	7.8	37.1	3.2	52.1	55.3
	1984	19,761	8.1	8.5	35.8	3.8	52.4	56.3
	1985	19,571	8.2	8.8	36.2	3.5	53.2	56.7
	1986	19,499	8.9	8.9	38.9	2.9	56.7	59.6
					_			



	Percentage Enroiling as Freshmen							
		Number of High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	Year	Graduates	UC	<u>CSU</u>	CCC	pendent	Public	Total
Santa C	lara							
	1974	17,430	5.8	10.4	39.7	N.A	55.9	N.A
	1976	17,856	5.5	10.2	39.3	N.A	55.0	N.A
	1978	18,249	6.4	10.1	33.6	3.5	50.1	53.6
	1980	16,643	7.5	11.0	34.6	3.9	53.1	57.0
	1981	15,827	8.3	11.1	33.6	4.1	52.5	56.8
	1982	16,739	7.8	12.0	N.A	4.3	N.A	N.A
	1983	15,927	8.8	11.6	N.A	4.2	N.A	N.A
	1984	15,649	9.2	10.9	N.A	3.8	N.A	N.A
	1985	15,222	10.1	13.2	N.A	5.3	N.A	N.A
	1986	14,667	10.2	13.3	37.7	4.1	61.2	65.3
Alameda	3						.	
	1974	14,167	7.2	9.2	40.2	N.A	56.6	N.A
	1976	14,355	6.4	8.9	42.4	N.A	57.7	N.A
	1978	14,023	7.2	8.9	39.2	2.7	55.3	58.0
	1980	12,862	7.7	9.1	35.2	2.3	52.0	54.3
	1981	12,148	7.9	9.1	37.6	2.5	54.6	57.2
	1982	12,278	7.8	10.1	41.9	2.1	59.8	61.9
	1983	11,829	8.6	10.0	38.2	2.4	56.8	59.2
	1984	11,750	8.4	10.5	36.5	2.4	55.5	57.9
	1985	11,486	8.0	10.3	34.7	3.4	53.0	56.4
	1986	11,966	7.9	10.7	31.9	2.3	50. 5	52.8
San Ber	nardin	0				• , ,	•	
	1974	10,230	2.7	4.7	40.6	N.A	48.0	N.A
	1976	10,525	2.9	5.5	39.9	N.A	48.3	N.A
	1978	9,899	2.9	6.3	39.2	2.7	48.4	51.1
	1980	9,687	3.0	7.5	41.4	2.1	51.9	54.0
	1981	9,611	3.0	7.3	45.2	2.7	55.4	58.1
	1982	9,836	3.5	6.9	48.4	2.5	58.8	61.3
	1983	9,568	3.4	6.7	40.5	2.8	50.6	53.4
	1984	9,702	3.6	7.1	35 .3	2.0	46.0	48.0
	1985	9,545	4.1	6.8	37.2	1.2	48.1	49.3
	1986	9,504	4.4	8.3	30.2	2.5	42.9	45.4
Sacrame	nto							
	1974	11,106	3.3	6.6	42.1	N.A	52.0	N.A
	1976	10,774	3.5	6.0	42.1	N.A	51.6	N.A
	1978	10,812	3.7	6.7	42.0	2.3	52.4	54.7
	1980	ð,651	4.8	8.7	47.1	1.9	60.6	62.5
	1981	9,586	4.6	9.4	45.2	2.0	59.2	61.2
	1982	9,555	4.0	8.6	40.7	2.0	53.3	55.3
	1983	9,046	5.9	9.0	40.9	1.9	55.8	57.7
	1984	9,029	6.3	8.1	37.6	2.1	52.0	54.1
	1985	8,527	6.1	9.7	39.3	2.4	55.1	57.5
	1986	8,814	6.4	8.4	40.5	2.4	55.3	57.7

34

ERIC 30

		No. on the control of	Perce	ntage Eni	olling as F	reshmen		
		Number of High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	Year	Graduates	<u>UC</u>	CSU	<u>ccc</u>	pendent	Public	Total
Contra	Costa							
	1974	9,884	9.0	8.4	43.9	N.A	61.3	N.A
	1976	9,593	8.7	7.3	44.7	N.A	60.7	N.A
	1978	9,489	9.2	7.6	46.2	3.2	63.0	66.2
	1980	8,847	10.0	9.0	46.5	3.0	65.5	68.5
	1981	8,734	10.5	8.0	44.7	3.3	63.2	66.5
	1982	8,768	10.4	8.0	44.9	2.6	63.3	65.9
	1983 1984	8,342	11.7 11.6	7.8 8.2	42 .6 38 .9	3.5 3.2	59.8 58.7	63.3 61.9
	1985	8,428 7,861	11.3	10.1	36.9 4 5 .5	3.2 2.4	66.9	69.3
	1986	8,002	11.1	9.2	50.4	3.7	70.7	74.4
Riversi	——— de							
202 / 02 02		3 11 5	- 4			3. A		\$7 A
	1974	6,415 .	5.1	3.3	38.2	N.A	46 .6	N.A
	1976 1978	6,77 7 6,857	5.0 4.8	3.6 4.1	35.8 35.8	N.A 2.0	44.4 44.7	N.A 46.7
	1980	6,728	5.1	4.1	33.6 44.5	1.8	54.4	56.2
	1981	6,831	5.1	4.9	46.8	1.9	56.7	58.6
	1982	6,9 6 1	5.0	4.8	41.4	1.8	51.2	53.0
	1983	6,883	5.1	4.3	37.4	2.4	46.8	49.2
	1984	7,104	5.8	4.8	33 .9	2.5	44.5	47.0
	1985	6 ,893	5.7	6.0	26.1	2.6	37.8	40.4
	1986	6,932	5.9	6.5	33.3	1.5	45.7	47.2
Ventura	3							
	1974	6,492	3.4	4.2	46.3	N.A	53 .9	N.A
	1976	7,099	3.7	3.8	44.5	N.A	52 .0	N.A
	1978	6,953	3.6	4.9	44.6	3.9	53.1	57.0
	1980	6,846	4.7	4.9	50.7	3.2	60.3	63.5
	1981	6,739	4.3	5.6	45.5	2.8	55.4	58.3
	1982	6,820	4.9	5.8	45.5	2.1	56.2	58.3
	1983	6,9 82	5.3	5.7	38.1	3.2	49.1	52.3
	1984 1985	6,714 6,668	6.9 7.0	6.6 7.3	40.3 39.2	2.2 2.6	53.7 53.5	55.9 56.1
	1986	6,649	7.5	7.4	39.5	2.6	54.4	57.0
Fresno						·		
	1074	<i>c</i>	٥.	10.0	40.7	NT A	57 A	NT A
	1974 1976	6,638 6,570	2.1 1.4	12.6 12.2	42.7 42.2	N.A N.A	57.4 55.8	N.A N.A
	1978	6,629	2.0	12.2	42.2 39.4	N.A 2.1	53.5	55.6
	1980	6,62 3 6,603	2.2	14.6	39.4 39.7	1.5	56.5	58.0
	1981	6,256	2.7	14.3	N.A	1.4	N.A	N.A
	1982	6,284	2.4	13.6	39.2	2.1	55.2	57.3
	1983	6,098	2.6	13.6	33.5	2.3	49.7	52.0
	1984	6,161	2.9	12.3	34.6	1.8	49.8	51.6
	1985	5,919	3.1	13.2	36.5	1.2	52.8	54.0
	1986	5,868	3.9	13.7	36.4	2.1	54.0	56.1
		_	_					

ERIC

		Percentage Enrolling as Freshmen								
		Number of High School				Inde-	Total	Grand		
County	Year	Graduates	<u>UC</u>	<u>CSU</u>	CCE	pandent	<u>Public</u>	Total		
San Francisco										
	1974	6,763	7.9	10.7	39.2	N.A	57.8	N.A		
	1976	6,467	8.2	12.2	38.8	N.A	59.2	N.A		
	1978	5,868	9.0	14.6	42.0	5.6	65.6	71.2		
	1980	5,392	9.2	13.5	42.7	6.8	65.4	72.2		
	1981	4,979	10.2	14.3	39.3	3.9	63.8	67.7		
	1982	5,378	9.9	14.0	37.2	4.3	61.1	65.4		
	1983	5,527	11.9	13.2	34.1	4.7	59.2	63.9		
	1984	5,405	11.6	15 .3	38.0	4.4	65 .0	69.4		
	1985	5,729	11.0	16.3	35.5	1.4	62.8	64.2		
	1986	5,480	10.2	16.9	26.7	3.5	53.8	57.3		
			•				<u>-</u>			
San Mat	æо									
	1974	8,131	7.0	7.8	42.9	N.A	57.7	N.A		
	1976	8,060	6.5	7.1	43.4	N.A	57.0	N.A		
	1978	7,462	7.8	7.2	40.1	4.2	55.1	59.3		
	1980	6,970	8.8	8.1	3 9 .1	3.9	56.0	59.9		
	1 9 81	6,314	8.4	9.0	N.A	3.9	N.A	N.A		
	1982	6,497	8.3	8.6	N.A	4.1	N.A	N.A		
	1983	6,3 33	8.9	7.7	39.7	4.6	56 .3	60.9		
	1984	6,105	10.1	8.3	45 .2	4.0	63.6	67.6		
•	1985	5,763	9.3	9.3	49.4	3.0	68.0	71.0		
	1986	5,389	9.9	9.8	49.2 .	5.4	68.9	74.3		
Kern										
Mern										
	1974	4,841	2.3	6.9	44.2	N.A	53.4	N.A		
	1976	4,744	2.0	6.7	47.7	N.A	56.4	N.A		
	1978	4,753	2.0	6.6	46.2	2.2	54.8	57.0		
	1980	4,561	3.4	6.5	N.A	1.7	N.A	N.A		
	1981	4,283	2.3	7.4	44.7	2.8	54.4	57.2 51.0		
	1982	4,077	2.7	6.7	40.4	1.5	49.8	51.3		
	1983	4.175	2.2	5.5	32.5	2.0	39.1	41.1		
	1984	3,915	2.9	7.2	32.7	2.3	42.8	45.2 50.7		
	1985 1986	4,079	3.1	8.9 7.9	37.5 41.8	1.2 2.5	49.5 53.1	50.7 55.6		
	1900	4,158	3.4	1.5	41.0		JJ.1			
San Joa	quin				-					
	1974	4,116	3.4	3.6	45.5	N.A	52.5	N.A		
	1976	4,110	2.2	3.0	56.8	N.A	62.0	N.A		
	1978	4,141	3.0	2.9	50.0 50.0	3.5	5 5 .9	59.4		
	1980	3,805	3.3	3.4	59.3	5.7	66.0	71.7		
	1981	3,183	4.0	4.6	61.0	4.5	69.6	74.1		
	1982	3,716	3.5	4.6	47.6	3.4	55.7	59.1		
	1983	3,534	3.4	3.8	43.2	4.0	50.4	54.4		
	1984	3,662	3.4	5.1	30.6	3.5	39.1	42.6		
	1985	3,601	4.0	4.5	42.2	1.5	50.7	52.2		
	1986	3,876	4.4	5.1	18.2	2.9	27.7	30.6		
			-							

ERIC 32

		Percentage Enrolling as Freshmen								
County	Year	Number of High School Graduates	UC	CSU	CCC	Inde- pendent	Total Public	Grand <u>Total</u>		
Monterey										
	1974	3,006	4.8	5.4	58.4	N.A	68.6	N.A		
	1976	3,125	4.9	5 .0	57.9	N.A	67.8	N.A		
	1978	3,064	4.8	3.9	56.7	3.0	65.4	68.4		
	1980	2,836	6.0	4.7	50.3	3.3	61.0	64.3		
	1981	2,686	6.0	5.6	46.3	4.0	58.0	62.1		
	1982	2,803	5 .5	4.0	46.1	3.4	55.6	· 59.0		
	1983	2,795	5.4	4.8	35.2	3.5	45.4	48.9		
	1984	2,742	7.1	4.6	31.3	2.9	43.1	46.0		
	1985	2,711	6.0	4.9	38.7	1.2	49.6	50.8		
	1986	3,645	5.5	4.8	23.6	2.9	33.9	36.8		
- You fit, a			<u> </u>							
Sonoma					10.5	37.4	40.0	37 A		
	1974	3,518	1.9	3.4	43.7	N.A	49.0	N.A		
	1976	3,565	2.0	2.9	47.0	N.A	51.9	N.A		
	1978	3,626	3.0	3.3	40.7	2.5	47.0	49.5		
	1980	3,436	3.4	4.3	44.6	1.9	52.3	54.2		
	1981	3,423	4.0	4.1	44.0	2.1	52.0	53.9		
	1982	3,466	4.2	4.4	37.9	2.0	46.5	48.5		
	1983	3,443	4.7	5.2	44.6	2.0	54.5	56.5		
	1984	3,390	5.0	5.1	57.1	1.6	67.2	68.7		
	1985	3,215	5.1	6 .6	36.1	1.1	47.8	48.9		
	1986	3,272	5.2	7.1	24.7	2.3	37.0	39.3 		
Santa Bai	rbara			· _	•		-	-		
•	1974	4,398	5.2	4.4	47.7	N.A	57.3	N.A		
	1976	4,489	5.7	4.2	49.8	N.A	59.7	N.A		
	1978	4,059	5.9	4.8	47.3	4.7	58.0	62.7		
	1980	3,800	7.4	5.2	53.9	3.0	66.5	69.5		
	1981	3,546	8.2	5.4	51.2	2.9	64.8	67.8		
	1982	3,589	7.7	4.9	55.3	2.4	67.9	70.3		
	1983	3,459	8.8	5.2	36.9	3.5	50.9	54.4		
	1984	3, 453 3,363	9.2	5.7	41.5	2.8	56.5	59.3		
	19 85	3,363 3,139	9.2 9.7	5.7	39.2	1.6	54.6	56.2		
	1986	3,119	9.8	4.7	44.5	3.5	59.0	62.5		
Stanislaus	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>							
		9 969	1 4	g 1	39.1	N.A	45.6	N.A		
	1974	2,862	1.4	5.1 4.7	39.1 44.6	N.A N.A	51.2	N.A		
	1976	2,771	1.9			2.3	41.0	43.3		
	1978	2,792	1.4	5.6 5.7	34.0	2.3 1.7	41.0 41.9	43.5 43.6		
	1980	3,277	1.1	5.7	35.1		41.9 52.6	43.6 54.9		
	1981	2,805	1.6	5.8	45.3	2.1	52.6 53.5	54. 9 55.3		
	1982	2,815	2.6	7.6	43.3	1.8	53.5 49.1	50.8		
	1983	2,838	3.0	6.9	39.2	1.7		44.8		
	1984	2,849	3.1	7.2	33.0	1.6	43.2			
	1985	2,806	3.2	7.7	35.2	0.9	46.1	47.0		
	1986	2,875	3.3	8.9	33.6	1.7	45.8	47.5		

ERIC Pull Text Provided by ERIC

				Perce	ntage Ens	rolling as F	reshmen		
			Number of High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
	County	Year	Graduates	UC	CSU	CCC	pendent	Public `	Total
	Tulare								
							4		
		1974	2,554	1.4	3.4	48.6	N.A	53.4	N.A
		1976	2,721	1.8	2.9	46.4	N.A	51.1	N.A
		1978	2,649	1.4	2.4	48.2	1.5	52.0	53.5
		1980 1981	2,790	1.6	4.0	49.1	1.4	54.7	56.1
		1982	2,983 2,743	1.6 1.3	3.8 3.8	40.8	1.4	46.2 52.0	47.3
		1983	2,752	1.7	3.9	46.9 37.0	1.2 1.7	42.6	53.2 44.3
		1984	2,794	2.1	4.3	42.7	1.7	49.1	50.8
		1985	2,699	2.2	4.6	45.1	0.4	51.9	52.3
		1986	2,806	2.9	4.0	41.3	2.3	48.2	50.5
		2000	2,000	2.0	1.0	12.0		19.2	,
	36 .	•							
	Marin								
		1974	3,466	10.2	7.7	43.4	N.A	61.3	N.A
		1976	3,339	10.0	7.7	49.3	N.A	67.0	N.A
		1978	3,459	11.9	8.0	40.2	4.5	60.1	64.6
		1980	3,148	13.6	8.8	40.3	5.3	62.7	68.0
		1981	3,270	13.0	7.7	40.6	3.7	61.3	65.0
		1982	2,983	12.6	8.0	N.A	4.0	N.A	N.A
		1983	2,940	15.4	8.8	26.1	3.2	50.3	53.5
		1984	2,750	17.7	8.8	29.3	3.9	55.8	59.7
		1985	2,616	15.5	10.7	31.2	1.5	57.4	58.9
		1986	2,537	15.7	11.5	27.4	4.8	54.6	59.4
									
	Solano			•					
•		1974	2,542	4.3	4.8	39.6	N.A	48.7	N.A
		1976	2,578	3.8	5.6	42.7	N.A	52.1	N.A
		1978	2,469	4.3	5.4	41.0	2.4	50.7	53.1
		1980	2,782	5.4	5.6	40.3	2.1	51.3	53.4
		1981	2,794	4.7	5.0	39.2	1.8	48.9	50.7
		1982	2,755	4.4	5.7	41.8	1.4	51.9	53.3
		1983	2,679	5.2	5.3	38.4	1.6	48.9 .	50.5
		1984	2,707	5.8	5.2	32.4	1.4	43.4	44.8
		1985	2,673	6.3	6.5	30.6	0.6	43.4	44.0
		1986	2,536	6.9	7.6	25.9	1.7	40.4	42.1
								·	
	Santa C	ruz							•
		1974	2,022	4.4	3.0	40.6	N.A	48.0	N.A
		1976	2,11	5.3	2.9	39.6	N.A	47.8	N.A
		1978	1,: 4	5.6	5.3	39.8	3.4	50.7	54.1
		1980	1,986	5.8	4.5	48.1	5.3	58.4	63.7
		1981	2,057	4.6	5.3	46.6	2.6	56.4	58.9
		1982	2,032	6.3	4.8	42.4	3.5	53.5	57.0
		1983	1,944	8.0	5.7	43.6	3.2	57.3	60.5
		1984	1,908	8.3	5.2	40.0	3.3	53.6	56.9
		1985	1,909	9.6	7.0	38.9	0.6	55. 5	56.1
		1986	1,962	8.2	8.1	40.9	4.7	57.2	61.9

ERIC 34

			Perce	ntage Eni	olling as F	reshmen		
		Number of High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	Year	Graduates	<u>uc</u>	CSU	<u>ccc</u>	pendent	<u>Public</u>	Total
Placer								
	1974	1,589	2.0	3.7	39.1	N.A	44.8	N.A
	1976	1,675	1.8	3.1	41.1	N.A	46.0	N.A
	1978	1,838	2.1	3.8	36.5	1.4	42.4	43.8
	1980	1,807	3.7	3.1	48.5	1.3	55.3	56.6
	1981	2,002	2.4	4.6	44.4	1.4	51.5	53.0
	1982	1,863	2.7	4.9	38.4	1.4	46.0	47.4
	1983	1,803	3.8	5.7	40.0	2.1	49.5	51.6
	1984	1,842 [.]	3.7	5.8	40.2	1.7	49.8	51.5
	1985	1,777	4.1	6.9	39.4	0.1	50.4	50.5
	1986	1,859	4.4	7.2	32.2	2.4	43.8	46.2
Merced	_	<u>.</u>	-					
	1974	1,818	2.0	4.1	58.1	N.A	€ 2.2	N.A
	1976	1,853	1.6	5.6	52.5	N.A	59.7°	N.A
	1978	1,831	2.5	5.2	51.6	1.2	59.3	60.5
	1980	1,790	2.3	7.3	59.3	0.9	68.9	69.8
	1981	1,808	2.3	6.3	55.4	1.4	4.0	65.4
	1982	1,564	2.4	7.4	56.6	1.0	66.4	67.4
	1983	1,679	2.3	8.9	39.5	1.2	50.7	51.9
	1984	1,717	2.5	8.2	38.7	0.6	49.4	50.1
	1985	1,792	2.1	8.1	37.3	0.3	47.5	47.8
	1986	1,615	1.8	7.4	40.7	1.5	49.9	51.4
Shasta					_			
	1974	1,368	1.5	2.^	52 .8	N.A	56.3	N.A
	1976	1,399	1.1	2.2	55.7	N.A	59.0	N.A
	1978	1,537	1.3	2.0	5 5 .0	1.4	58.3	59.7
	1980	1,520	1.6	3.2	N.A	1.7	N.A	N.A
	1981	1,533	1.6	3.3	N.A	1.3	N.A	N.A
	1982	1,587	2.8	4.0	42.7	1.2	49.5	50.7
	1983	1,533	2.0	4.7	42.2	0.9	48.9	49.8
	1984	1,607	2.1	3.4	39.2	1.0	44.7	45.7
	1985	1,564	2.4	4.8	34.8	0.4	42.0	42.4
	1986	1,450	2.7	4.3	39.4	1.8	46.4	48.2
San Lui	s Obis	90						
	1974	1,560	1.8	11.3	37.7	N.A	50.8	N.A
	1976	1,557	2.1	10.1	45.6	N.A	57.8	N.A
	1978	1,356	1.9	10.0	45.6	2.1	57.5	59.6
	1980	1,586	1.8	8.1	46.9	1.5	56.8	58.3
	1981	1,359	3.2	9.9	49.3	1.5	62.5	63.9
	1982	1,482	2.8	8.5	42.6	1.5	53.9	55.4
	1983	1,463	2.7	10.4	35.0	2.3	48.1	50.4
	1984	1,501	3.6	11.1	34.6	1.9	49.2	51.1
	1985	1,317	5.1	11.0	42 .5	0.4	58.6	59.0
	1986	1,442	5.3	10.3	35.9	2.0	51.5	53.5

ERIC Profitant Provided by ERIC

′ 35

			Perce	ntage En	olling as F	resh <u>men</u>		
Country	Vaan	Number of High School	110	CCII	acc	Inde-	Total	Grand
County	Year	Graduates	<u> 11C</u>	<u>CSU</u>	CCC	pendent	Public	Total
Butte								
	1974	1,462	1.9	13.3	38.9	N.A	54.1	N.A
	1976	1,424	2 .0	9.8	34.5	N.A	46.3	N.A
	1978	1,356	2 .6	11.4	39.2	1.8	53.2	55.0
	1980	1,473	3.0	13.1	42.2	1.7	58.3	60.0
	1981	1,491	2.9	13.3	45.3	2.4	61.5	63.9
	1982 1983	1,492 1,495	1.8 3 .1	13.1 11.7	N.A 14.4	1.7 1.5	N.A 29.2	N.A 30.7
	1984	1,499	3.1	12.8	24.6	1.0	40.7	41.7
	1985	1,432	3.8	15.2	24.2	0.4	43.2	43.6
	1986	1,338	3.6	13.9	33.4	2.9	50.9	53.8
Imperia	1	•		_		_		·
p v		1 050	9.0	9.0	46.2	N.A	52 .1	N.A
	1974 1976	1,259 1,241	2.9 2.6	2.9 3.2	46.3 48.0	N.A N.A	52.1 53.8	N.A N.A
	1978	1,348	1.9	3.2	43 .8	1.3	48.9	50.2
	1980	1,312	2.0	3. 5	48.3	1.4	53.8	5 5.2
	1981	1,357	3.1	3.3	38.5	1.4	44.9	46.3
	1982	1,306	2 .5	3.4	52.8	2.3	58.7	61.0
	1983	1,262	4.0	4.1	41.2	1.5	49.3	50.8
	1984	1,323	3.5	3.8	56.1	1.4	63.3	64.8
	1985 1986	1,355 1,315	3.1 3.0	3.5 3.3	12.9 49.1	0.3 1.1	19.5 55.4	19.8 56.5
Yolo								
	1974	1,411	8.9	10.6	30.8	N.A	50.3	N.A
	1976	1,259	10. 2	9.8	35.1	N.A	55.1	N.A
	1978	1,248	12.0	10.9	34.6	1.9	57.5	59.4
	1980			9.3	30.9	1.3	51.8	53.1
	1981	1,280		9.5		1.3	56 .8	58.1
	1982	1,259	12.1	9.5	33.8	1.7	55.4	57.1
	1983	1,188	10.5	10.6	33.8	1.8	54.9 55.0	56.5
	1984 1985	•	13.1 13.0	9.8	32.8	2.9 0.3	55.8 56.1	58.3 56.4
	1986	1,127 1,086	14.3	12.9 10.9	30.2 30.1	1. 2	55.3	56.5
El Dora	do				<u> </u>			
	1974	800	2.4	5.8	29.6	N.A	37.8	N.A
	1976	862	2.8	5.8	35.6	N.A	44.2	N.A
	1978	932	3.4	8.7	35.7	1.8	47.8	49.6
	1980	916	4.7	8.4	N.A	2.4	N.A	N.A
	1981	1,014	4.5	7.5	N.A	2.8	N.A	N.A
	1982	971	3.7	8.4	38.2	1.0	50.3	51. 3
	1983	964	4.4	7.5	35.8	0.7 0.6	47.7 51.9	48.4 51.8
	1984 1985	1,042 1,045	3.6 3.7	8.7 10.2	38 .9 3 8.0	0.6 0.5	51.2 51. 9	51.8 52 .4
	1986	1,045 1,067	3.7 3.7	11.2	36.5	2.2	51. 5 51.4	53.6
	1900	1,001	J. 1	-1.4	55.0			

ERIC Full float Provided by ERIC

		** 1	Perce	ntage En	rolling as F	reshmen		
		Number of High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	Year	Graduates	UC	CSU	CCC	pendent	Public	Total
Napa								
•	1974	1,294	3.6	2.9	57.7	N.A	64.2	N.A
	1976	1,294	3.0 4.1	3.5	48.7	N.A N.A	56.3	N.A N.A
	1978	1,275	4.4	4.1	51.8	6.4	60.3	66.7
	1980	1.276	5.3	4.8	N.A	8.2	N.A	N.A
	1981	1,191	5.0	5.1	N.A	6.0	N.A	N.A
	1982	1,212	6.3	4.8	64.4	6.7	75.7	82.4
	1983	1,203	5.8	6.4	30.5	4.1	42.7	46.8
	1984	1,124	7.7	5.8	34.0	2.2	47.5	49.7
	1985	946	8.5	9.0	37.7	1.3	55.2	56.5
	1986	986	7.2	10.3	34.6	6.8	52.1	58.9
								
Humbol	dt							
	1974	1,601	1.3	9.2	31.6	N.A	42 .1	N.A
	1976	1,448	1.3	7.4	34.3	N.A	43.0	N.A
	1978	1,422	2.0	8.2	32.3	1.5	42 .5	44.0
	1980	1,328	2.3	9.9	(30.2)	1.5	42 .4	43.9
	1981	1,199	2.6	10.0	45.6	1.3	58.2	59.5
	1982	1,171	3.1	10.0	37.7	1.4	50.8	52.2
	1983	1,105	2.6	10.8	33.0	2.5	46.4	48.9
	1984	1,072	2.6	8.7	16.0	2.1	27.3	29.4
	1985	978	3.7	12.1	37.5	0.3	53.3	53.6
	1986	974	2.8	13.9	34.4	1.9	51.1	53.0
Mendoc	:a	•	-		_	<u>-</u> -		-
Mendoc								
	1974	817	1.7	6.6	41.0	N.A	49.3	N.A
	1976	848	2.5	7.4	34.1	N.A	44.0	N.A
	1978	867	2.5	7.0	30.8	2.1	40.3	42.4
	1980	828	2.8	7.8	32.6	2.1	43.2	45.3
	1981	888	4.1	8.8	46.6	1.5	59.5	60.9
	1982	868	3.9	7.4	37.8	1.8	49.1	50.9
	1983	77"	3.4	10.7	26.8	2.5	40.9	43.4
	1984	36¥	4.6	9.4	31.3	3.1	45.3	48.4
	1985 1986	804 859	3.7 4.9	9.7 9 .1	39 .0 33.6	0 .4 3 .0	52.4 47.6	52.8 50.6
					.			
Kings								
	1974	1,006	1.9	6.2	33.6	N.A	41.7	N.A
	1976	943	1.5	6.6	42 .5	N.A	50.6	N.A
	1978	934	1.8	5.1	41.	2.5	48.0	50.5
	1980	903	1.6	6.9	43.3	1.9	51.8	53.7
	1981	887	1.9	7.7	44.8	2.1	54.4	56.6
	1982	899	1.3	5. 9	44.2	2.3	51.4	53.7
	1983	856	3.2	6.2	46.3	1.6	55.7	57.3
	1984	843	3.1	8.4	41.4	1.1	52.8	53.9
	1985	852	1.6	5.4	40.0	0.2	47.0	47.2
	1986	825	2.1	63	38.4	2.6	46.8	49.4
			_					

ERIC

		N *	Perce	ntage Ent	olling as F	reshmen		
		Number of High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	Year	Graduates	UC	CSU	CCC	pendent	<u>Public</u>	Total
Madera								
	1974	539	2.6	11.7	32.5	N.A	46.8	N.A
	1976	467	1.5	12.2	39 .6	N.A	53.3	N.A
	1978	552	2.3	1.0.9	38.6	3.4	51.8	55.2
	1980	570	1.8	11.9	43.3	3.2	57.0	60.2
	1981	711	1.5	8.7	N.A	1.5	N.A	N.A
	1982	577	2.1	14.0	47.1	3.1	63.2	66,3
	1983	606	1.0	10.4	28.1	1.8	39.5	41.3
	1984	641	1.4	10.0	34.2	1.6	45.6	47.1
	1985	6 83	2.4 1.7	10.0	34.7 29.4	0.2 1.7	47.1	47.3 43.4
	1986	690	1.7	10.6	29.4	1.1	41.7	40.4
Nevada	-	-					_ ;	
	1974	417	2.2	3.8	35.0	N.A	41.0	N.A
	1976	497	1.2	2.0	36.4	N.A	39.6	N.A
	1978	547	2.2	3.6	33.3	2.6	39.1	41.7
	1980	536	2.8	4.7	41.6	1.1	49.1	50.2
	1981	573	2.6	4.2	42.1	1.6	48.9	50.4
	1982	694	4.3	4.2	31.0	1.2	39.5	40.7
	1983	6ამ	4.0	6.5	35.7	1.5	46.2	47.7
	1984	569	6.5	7.9	39.7	2.1	54.1	56.2
	1985	653	5.1	8.1	35.5		48.7	48.9
_	1986	633	3.6	8.7	30.3	2.5	42.6	45.1
Sutter	• • •			-		· · · · ·		,
	1054	619	3.2	3.4	49.8	N.A	56.4	N.A
	1974 1976	6 93	3.2 2.2	3.4 4.3	5 0.6	N.A N.A	56.4 57.1	N.A N.A
	1978	68 5	3.2	6.0	46.9	2.8	56.1	58.9
	1980	718	6.0	5.6	51.0	1.4	62.6	64.0
	1981	722	1.9	7.2	44.5	0.8	53.6	54.4
	1982	· 676	4.7	5.0	42.9	1.0	52.6	53.6
	1983	678	4.3	8.4	33.9	1.6	46.6	48.2
	1984	675	5.5	8.0	34.8	0.6	48.3	48.9
	1985	638	4.5	7.7	37.5	0.1	49.7	49.8
	1986	627	4.1	4.8	38.9	1.6	47.8	49.4
Tehama		<u> </u>				-		
	1974	538	1.7	7.1	41.8	N.A	50.6	N.A
	1976	486	2.3	6.8	44.8	N.A	53.9	N.A
	1978	546	3.3	3.7	41.0	2.0	48.0	50.0
	1980	519	1.3	7.3	N.A	1.9	N.A	N.A
	1981	572	1.6	8. 9	N.A	1.4	N.A	N.A
	1982	560	1.6	6.6	45.5	1.4	53.7	55.1
	1983	514	2.5	8.0	37.2	1.9	47.7	49.6
	1984	55	2.0	8.9	36.0	0. 9	45.4	46.3
	1985	490	2.9	7.3	31.2	0.1	41.4	41.5
	1986	488	1.8	8.4	35.6	2.4	45.8	48.2
**						~		

		Number of	Ferce	HORE CH	omna az t	r éşmmen		
County	<u>Year</u>	High School Graduates	UC	CSU	CCC	Inde- pendent	Total Public	Grand <u>Total</u>
Siskiyou								
Siskiyou								
	1974	571	1.9	4.6	27.3	N.A	43.8	N.A
	1976	524	1.5	4.6	42.2	N.A	48.3	N.A
	1978	525	1.2	7.0	46.1	1.3	54.3	55.6
	1980	532	2.4	5.4	N.A	0.6	N.A	N.A
	1981	503	2.0	5.4	N.A	1.6	N.A	N.A
	1982	508	2.4	5.3	55 .3	1.2	63.0	64.2
	1983	490	3.3	6.1	41.2	1.0	50.6	51.6
	1984	464	1.7	6.3	42.5	1.3	50.4	51.7
	1985	469	3.0	7.5	46.5	0.1	57.0	57.1
	1986	450	3.1	7.1	45.5	2.2	55.7	57.9
Tradrama			·					
Tuolumn		049	0.5		00.0	NT 4	42.57	37.4
	1974 1976	3 68	2.5	6.3	32.9	N.A	41.7	N.A
		3 63	1.4	8.3	39.9	N.A	49.6	N.A
	1978	374	2.4	8.3	28.3	3.2	39.0	4 2 .2
	1980	420	4.0	6.7	39.8	3.3	50.5	53.8
	1981	383	1.8	4.7	44.1	1.8	50.6	52.4 53.0
	1982	39 9	3.8	4.0	48.6	1.8	56.4	58.2
	1983	450	2.4	7.1	37.8	1.6	47.3	48.9
	1984	412	1.5	6.3	42.5	1.7	50.2	51.9
	1985	393	3.8	8.9	28.5	0.2	41.2	41.4
	1986	449	2.6	9.6	27.8	3.5	40.0	43.5
Yuba								
	1974	584	1.7	2.6	54.1	N.A	58.4	N.A
	1976	516		1.7	61.0	N.A N.A	64.1	N.A N.A
	1978	48 5	1.4 0.8	3.9	57.1	2.1	61.8	63.9
	1980	502	1.6	3. 3 3.2	50.0	2.1 1.8	54.8	56.6
	1981	48 5	2.5	3. <i>7</i>	48.7	3.5	54.9	57.3
	1982	490	2.3 1.8	3.3	40.0	3.3 1.0	45.1	46.1
	1983	450 452	2.4	5.3	40.0 42.3	2.4	45.1 50.0	52.4
	1984	432 433	2. 4 3.9	5.5 4.4	39.0	2.4 1.8	47.3	45.2
	1985	455 443	3. 3 2.7.	5.4	37.9	0.2	41.3 46.0	45.2 46.2
	1986	373	2.4	9.1	44.7	4.8	56.2	61.0
Lake						•		
Lake	1074	20.4	0.2	6.0	44.1	ST A	40.7	NT A
	1974	304 305	2.3	6.3	41.1	N.A	49.7	N.A
	1976	305	2.3	6.6	42.6	N.A	51.5	N.A
	1978	354	2.0	3.4	43.8	1.1	49.2	50.3
	1980	376 376	2.9	7.7 ~ 0	36.7	1.1	47.3	48.4
	1981	376	1.9	5.3	44.4	2.1	51.6	5 3 .7
	1982	403	2.0	6.0	41.4	1.0	49.4	50.4
	1983	416	2.2	5.0	32.0	0.7	39.2	39.9
	1994	389	3.3	4.1	23.7	1.0	31.1	32.1
	1985	408	2.5	6.6	34.6	0.1	43.7	43.8
	1986	368	3.5	6.5	34.2	2.7	44.2	46.9

Percentage Enrolling as Freshmen

ERIC PUBLICATION OF LERIC

			Perce	ntage Enr	olling as F	reshmen		
Caunter	Vaan	Number of High School	UC	CSU	CCC	Inde- pendent	Total Public	Grand <u>Total</u>
 County	Year	Graduates	_00_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	pendent	Fuone	Total
Calaver	as	•						
	1974	207	1.0	1.4	32.8	N.A	35.2	N.A
	1976	2 22	18	7.2	37.4	N.A	46.4	N.A
	1978	247	1.3	6.5	37.7	4.0	45.3	49.3
	1980	3 23	1.9	9.6	32.5	0. 9	44.0	44.9
	1981	289	1.0	7.6	40.8	0.7	49.5	50.2
	1982	293	4.4	8.2	33.1	1.4	45.7	47.1 50.1
	1983	305	2.6	6.2	40.0	4.3	48.8	53.1
	1984	307	2.9	7.5 9.2	31.6	2. 3 0. 2	42 .0 33.4	44.3 33.6
	1985 1986	293 351	2.7	9.4 7.9	21.5 14.8	1.4	26.7	28.1
 	1900	301	4.0	1.5	14.0	1.4	20.1	46.1
San Ber	nito			•	•			*
	1974	254	3.2	7.1	44.9	N.A	55.2	N.A
	1976	276	2.2	8.7	45.3	N.A	56.2	N.A
	1978	256	3.5	9.4	44.5	5.1	57.4	62.5
	1980	246	2.8	6.1	41.9	9.3	50.8	60.1
	1981	259	3.5	7.3	44.0	5.8	56.8	62.5
	1982	233	3.9	3.4	40.3	4.3	47.6	51.9
	1983	309	1.7	5.6	3 5 .9	2.3	43.2	45.5
	1984	277	2 .2	5.8	27.8	2.5	35.7	38 .3
	1985	306	2.0	5.2	24 . 2	0.1	31.4	31.5
	1986	308	3.6	4.5	27.3	3.2	35.4	38.6
 Amador	•			•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
111111111111111111111111111111111111111		1.00	• •	10.4	50.4	NT A	40.7	N7 A
	197	153	3.9	10.4	29.4	N.A	43.7	N.A
	19	183	1.6	4.9 8.8	50.8 30.1	N.A 1.7	57.3 40.2	N.A 41. 9
	1Տ 1 9 8Ն	239 223	1.3 3.1	6.3	31.4	1.7	40.2 40.8	41.5 42.1
	1981	1 97	4.5	8.1	34.0	4.1	44.6	48.7
	1982	226	1.8	6.2	24.3	4.0	3 2 .3	36.3
	1983	193	4.1	12.4	33.7	4.1	50.2	54.3
	1984	230	1.7	5.7	32.6	3.0	48.7	51.7
	1985	199	7.0	6.0	52.3	.1	65.3	65.4
	1986	275	5.1	4.7	16.4	1.1	26.2	27.3
Lassen	<u> </u>			-		_		
20000		2011		0.1	05.0		41 1	ST A
	1974	289	1.0	31	37.0	N.A	41.1	N.A N.A
	1976	284	1.4	2.5	54.9 51.2	N.A	58.8	
	1978	302	1.3	5.0 2.6	51.3 N.A	1.0 1.5	57.6 N.A	58.6 N.A
	1980	273 297	$1.7 \\ 2.0$	2.6 3.4	N.A 45.8	1.5 11.1	51.2	64.3
	1981 1982	300	2.0 1.7	3.4 4.0	45.6 44.0	0.3	49.7	50.0
	1982	300 306	1.7	3.3	44.0 45.8	0.3 0.7	50.1	50.8
	1984	240	1.3	4.2	45.0	0.7	50.1 50.4	51 2
	1985	253	1.2	13.8	32.4	0.1	47.4	47.5
	1986	275	1.4	5.1	47.3	1.1	53.8	54.9
	1000		<u> </u>					

		Number of	Perce	ntage Eni	rolling is F	reshmen		
County Plumas	Year	High School Graduates	<u>uc</u>	<u>csu</u>	CCC	Inde- pendent	Total Public	Grand <u>Total</u>
1 lumas		2.12		-				
	1974 1976	243 255	0.4 ·1.6	11.5 7.8	34.6 29.8	N.A N.A	46.5	N.A N.A
	1978	252	0.0	11.1	29.6 34.5	0.4	39.2 45.6	46.0
	1980	277	1.1	9.0	36.4	1.4	46.5	47.9
	1981	280	4.6	6.8	48.9	2.9	60.3	63.6
	1982	276	2.2	5.1	27.9	1.1	35.2	. 36.3
	1983	242	1.7	6.2	37.6	2.5	45.5	48.0
	1984 1985	252 247	3.6 3.6	8.3 6. 5	43.7 53.4	0.8 0.1	5 5 .6 63.5	56.3 63.6
	1986	249	3.6	8.8	47.8	2.4	60.2	62.6
Glenn	<u> </u>	 -				77.		
	1974	303	4.0	9.2	22.8	N.A	36.0	N.A
	1976	3 44	1.7	10.8	31.7	N.A	44.2	N.A
	1978	309	3.2	9.1	23.9	2.6	36.2	38.8
	1980	299	5.7	9.4	45.5	0.3	60.6	60.9
	1981	286	2.1	7.7	48.6	1.4	58.4	59.8
	1982	322	4.0	8.1	21.4	1.6	33.5	35.1
	1983 1984	290 263	2.4 3.8	9.3 11.8	21.0 25.5	1.7 0.8	32.7 41.0	34.4 41.8
	1985	253 253	1. 2	13.8	20.5 32.4	0.1	47.4	41.6 47. 5
	1986	238	5.0	15.5	32.8	1.3	53 3	54.6
Inyo	-		-			-	-	, -
	1974	299	4.4	8.4	30.1	N.A	42.9	N.A
	1976	270	5.2	7.0	31.8	N.A	44.0	N.A
	1978	281	3.9	6.8	31.3	11.5	42.0	43.1
	1980 1981	227 216	2.6 3.2	6.6 10. 2	40.1 36.6	9.3 1.9	49.3 50.0	58.6 51.8
	1982	267	3.2 2.2	8.2	27.3	5.6	37.7	43.3
	1983	233	3.4	11.6	26.6	1.3	41.6	42.9
	1984	244	4.9	8.2	36.1	1.6	49.2	50.8
	1985	201	4.0	11.4	30.8	0.1	46.2	46.3
	1986	219	8.2	6.8	33.3	3.6	48.3	51.9
Del Nort	e		· <u>-</u>		·		_	
	1974	249	0.4	7.2	25.7	N.A	33.3	N.A
	1976	241	1.6	8.3	32.0	N.A	41.9	N.A
	1978	201	2.0	7.0	24.4	0.5	33.4	33.9
	1980	184	0.5	8.1	N.A	0.5	N.A	N.A
	1981	197	1.0	7.1	N.A	1.0	N.A	N.A
	1982	218	0.9	8.7	25.2	0.0	34.8	34.8
	1983	215	2.8	3.7	19.5	0.9	26.0	26.9
	1984	177	1.1	5.1	18.6	0.6	24.8	25.4
	1985 1986	188 167	0. 5 0.0	6. 9 0.0	29.8 35.3	.1 1.8	37.2 41.3	37.3 43.1
	1300	101	U.U	U.U			-41.0	

ERIC Full fext Provided by ERIC

			Perce	ntage Enr	olling as F	<u>reshmen</u>		
County TOTAL*	<u>Year</u>	Number of High School Graduates	<u>UC</u>	<u>CSU</u>	ccc	linde- pendent	Total <u>Public</u>	Grand <u>Total</u>
	1974	289,714	5 1	7.6	41.3	N.A	54.0	N.A
	1975	293,941	5.3	7.5	43.1	N.A	55.9	N.A
	1976	289,454	5.1	7.8	41.7	N.A	54.6	N.A
	1977	285,360	5.2	8.0	43.3	3.6	56.5	60.1
	1978	283,841	5.5	8.4	41.4	3.4	55.3	58.7
	1979	278,548	5.8	8.7	42.1	3.4	56 6	60.0
	1980	270,971	6.0	9.0	43.0	3.5	58 0	61.5
	1981	260.229	64	9.0	42.1	3.3	57.6	60.8
	1982	265.924	6 4	9.0	42.8	3.2	58.2	61.4
	1983	262,160	70	8.9	37.9	3.4	53.8	57 .2
	1984	257.633	7.5	8.9	36.3	3.3	52.7	56.0
	1985	251,143	7.7	10.0	33.0	3.0	50. 7	53.7
	1986	248,894	7.9	10.2	36.3	3.4	54.4	57 .8

Percents were not calculated for Colusa, Trinity, Modoc, Mariposa, Mono, Siera, and Alpino Counties because of the small numbers of high school graduates. However, data for these counties are included in the "Total" figures.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.

APPENDIX B

DIX B

Ethnic Distribut on of 1985-86 Graduates
of Public California High Schools by County
and of Fall 1986 First-Time Freshmen
at the University of California, the California State University,
and the California Community Colleges,
by County and Sex

County and Segment Los Angeles	Sex and Percent	<u>Number</u>	White	<u>Black</u>	<u>Hispanic</u>	Asian	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- <u>Total</u>	No Response	Other	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
High School	Total	62,833	27,829	8,734	18,417	6,475	1,188	190				
	Percei	nt	44.3	13.9	29.3	10.3	1.9	0.3				
University	Men	2,453	1,079	143	294	661	80	14	2,271	72	47	63
of	Percei	•	47.5	6.3	12.9	29.2	3.5	0.6	_,			00
California	Women		1,062	257	291	662	110	15	2,397	72	31	39
	Percei	•	44.3	10.7	12.2	27 6	4.6	0.6	_,			
	Total	4,992	2,141	400	585	1,323	190	29	4,668	144	78	102
	Percei	nt	45.9	8.6	12.5	28.3	4.1	0.6	·			
The California	Men	3,033	1,330	262	453	634	105	15	2,799	70	67	97
State	Percer	•	47.5	9.4	16.2	22.6	3.8	0.5	2,	••	٠.	•
University	Women		1,639	453	486	657	142	15	3,392	110	95	88
•	Percer	•	48.3	13.4	14.3	19.4	4.2	0.4	-,			
	Total	6,718	2,969	715	93 <u>9</u>	1,291	247	30	6,191	180	162	185
	Percer	nt	48.0	11.5	15.2	20.8	4.0	0.5	•			
California	Men	11,036	4.806	1,476	2,649	943	232	118	10,224	297	209	306
Community	Percer	•	47.0	14.4	25.9	9.2	2.3	1.2	,			
Colleges		11,748	5.106	1,887	2,923	765	198	105	10,984	324	183	257
	Percer	•	46 5	172	26 6	7.0	1.8	09	,			
•	Total*	22,790	9,912	3,363	5,574	1,712	430	223	21,214	621	392	563
	Percer	•	46.7	15 9	26.3	8.1	2.0	1.0	•			

continued



^{*}Men's and women's numbers do not equal total numbers because some students' sex is unknown.

ă,	County and Segment	Sex and Percent	Number	White	<u>Black</u>	Hispanic	<u>Asian</u>	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No Response		Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
C	Irange												
	High School	Total Perce	21,001 nt	15,602 74.3	304 1.5	2,432 11.6	2,292 10.9	170 0.8	201 0.9				
	University of	Men Ferce	1,022 nt	569 60.0	8 0.8	57 6.0	294 31.0	19 2.0	2 0.2	949	49	13	11
	California	Womer Perce	nt	626 61.6	13 1.3	6.8	278 27.4	22 2.1	8 0.8	1,016	37	.21	16
		Total Perce	2,1 12 nt	1,195 60.8	21 1.1	126 6.4	572 29.1	41 2.1	10 0.5	1,965	86	34	27
	The California State	Men Perce	1,108 nt	775 73.2	10 0.9	60 5.7	194 18.3	14 1.3	6 0 6	1,059	16	20	13
	University	Womer Perce	nt	1,023 76.0	15 1.1	91 6.8	186 13.8	19 1.4	12 0.9	1,346	19	29	13
		Total Perce	2,515 nt	1,798 74.8	25 1.0	151 6.3	380 15.8	33 1.4	18 0.7	2,405	35	49	26
	California Community	Men Perce		3,116 76.9	68 1.7	384 9.5	395 9.8	41 1.0	45 1.1	4,049	47	81	68
	Colleges	Women Perce Total*	•	3,332 78.5 6,449	51 1.2 119	439 10.3 823	316 7.4 711	52 1.2 93	56 1.4 101	4,246 8,296	51 98	80 161	64 132
		Perce	•	77.7	1.4	9.9	8.6	1.1	1.3	0,230	30	101	132
_						_							
S	San Diego		 _					-					
S	San Diego High School	Total Perce	18,1 29 nt	12,088 66.7	1,103 6.1	2,990 16.5	1,047 5.8	803 4.4	98 0.5				
S	High School University		733	66.7 486 69.9	6.1 18 2.6	16.5 49 7.1	5.8 89 12.8	4.4 51 7.3		695	21	8	9
5	High School University	Men Perce Women Perce	733 nt n 806 nt	486 69.9 502 66.3	6.1 18 2.6 33 4.3	16.5 49 7.1 75 9 9	5.8 89 12.8 84 11.2	4.4 51 7.3 60 7 9	0.5 2 7.3 3 0.4	757	25	13	11
- 9	High School University	Men Perce Women	733 nt nt 806 nt 1,539	486 69.9 502	6.1 18 2.6 33	16.5 49 7.1 75	5.8 89 12.8 84	4.4 51 7.3 60	0.5 2 7.3 3				
S	High School University of California The California State	Men Perce Women Perce Total Perce Men Perce	733 nt nt n 806 nt 1,539 nt 736	486 69.9 502 66.3 988 68.0 474 66.1	6.1 18 2.6 33 4.3 51 3.5 27 3.8	16.5 49 7.1 75 9 9 124 8.5 77 10 7	5.8 89 12.8 84 11.2 173 11 9 77 10 7	4.4 51 7.3 60 7.9 111 7.6 57 7.9	0.5 2 7.3 3 0.4 5 0.3	757 1,452 718	25 46 5	13 21 10	11 20 3
S	High School University of California	Men Perce Women Perce Total Perce Men Perce Women Perce	733 nt n 806 nt 1,539 nt 736 nt n 845 nt	486 69.9 502 66.3 988 68.0 474 66.1 533 65.5	6.1 18 2.6 33 4.3 51 3.5 27 3.8 26 3.2	16.5 49 7.1 75 99 124 8.5 77 10 7 95 11.7	5.8 89 12.8 84 11.2 173 11 9 77 10 7 69 8.5	4.4 51 7.3 60 7.9 111 7.6 57 7.9 87 10.7	0.5 2 2.3 3 0.4 5 0.3 6 0.8 4 0.4	757 1,452 718 814	25 46 5	13 21 10 16	11 20 3
S	High School University of California The California State	Men Perce Women Perce Total Perce Men Perce Women	733 nt n 806 nt 1,539 nt 736 nt nt 1,581	486 69.9 502 66.3 988 68.0 474 66.1 533	6.1 18 2.6 33 4.3 51 3.5 27 3.8 26	16.5 49 7.1 75 9 9 124 8.5 77 10 7 95	5.8 89 12.8 84 11.2 173 11 9 77 10 7 69	4.4 51 7.3 60 7.9 111 7.6 57 7.9 87	0.5 2 2.3 3 0.4 5 0.3	757 1,452 718	25 46 5	13 21 10	11 20 3
- \$	High School University of California The California State University California Community	Men Perce Women Perce Total Perce Men Perce Total Perce Total Perce Total Perce	733 nt 806 nt 1,539 nt 736 nt 845 nt 1,581 nt 3,509 nt	486 69.9 502 66.3 988 68.0 474 66.1 533 65.5 1,007 65.7	6.1 18 2.6 33 4.3 51 3.5 27 3.8 26 3.2 53 3.4 160 4.8	16.5 49 7.1 75 99 124 8.5 77 10 7 95 11.7 172 11.2 450 13.5	5.8 89 12.8 84 11.2 173 11 9 77 10 7 69 8.5 146 9.5 189 5.7	4.4 51 7.3 60 7.9 111 7.6 57 7.9 87 10.7 144 9.4 153 4.6	0.5 2 2.3 3 0.4 5 0.3 6 0.8 4 0.4 10 0.8 57 1.6	757 1,452 718 814 1,532 3,342	25 46 5 11 16	13 21 10 16 26	11 20 3 4 7
S	High School University of California The California State University California	Men Perce Women Perce Total Perce Women Perce Total Perce Women Perce Total Perce	733 nt 806 nt 1,539 nt 736 nt 1,581 nt 3,509 nt 1,3751	486 69.9 502 66.3 988 68.0 474 66.1 533 65.5 1,007 65.7	6.1 18 2.6 33 4.3 51 3.5 27 3.8 26 3.2 53 3.4 160	16.5 49 7.1 75 9 9 124 8.5 77 10 7 95 11.7 172 11.2	5.8 89 12.8 84 11.2 173 11 9 77 10 7 69 8.5 146 9.5	4.4 51 7.3 60 7.9 111 7.6 57 7.9 87 10.7 144 9.4 153	0.5 2 2.3 3 0.4 5 0.3 6 0.8 4 0.4 10 0.8	757 1,452 718 814 1,532	25 46 5 11 16	13 21 10 16 26	11 20 3 4 7



County and Segment	Sex and Percent	Number	<u>White</u>	Black	Hispanic	<u>Asian</u>	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- <u>Total</u>	No Response	<u>Other</u>	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Santa Clara												
High School	Total Perce	13,191 nt	8,645 65.5	455 3.4	1,925 14.6	1,662 12.6	432 3.3	72 0.6				
University of	Men	611	359	6	26	168	14	1	574	25	5	7
California	Perce: Women		62.5 370	1.0 13	4.6	29.3	2.4	0.2	500	10	-	0
California	Perce		61.8	2.2	40 6.7	157 26.2	16 2.6	3 0.5	599	19	5	8
	Total	1,242	729	19	66	325	2.6 30	0.5 4	1 172	4.4	10	15
	Perce	•	62.1	16	5.6	27.8	2.6	0.3	1,173	44	10	15
The California	a Men	803	480	12	56	173	35	5	761	11	20	11
State	Percer	nt	63.0	16	7 4	22.7	4.6	0.7				
University	Women	893	579	20	63	153	39	3	857	11	22	3
	Percei	nt	67.5	2.3	7.4	179	4.5	0.4				
	Total	1,696	1,059	32	119	326	74	ક	1,618	22	42	14
	Percei	nt	65.5	2.0	7.3	20.1	4.6	0.5	·			
California	Men	2,570	1,455	117	345	281	94	26	2,318	155	59	38
Community	Percer	nt	62.8	5.1	14.9	12.1	4.1	1.0	•			
Colleges	Women	2,574	1,426	120	371	246	87	42	2,292	191	64	27
	Percer	nt	62.3	5.2	16.2	10.7	3.8	1.8				
	Total*	5,167	2,894	237	719	527	181	68	4,626	353	123	65
	Percer	nt	62.6	5.1	15.5	11.4	3.9	1.5				
Alameda High School	Total Percer		-6,323 59.0	1,814	1,031	1,076	406	71				
	Percer	10	59 .0	16.9	9.6	10.0	3.8	0.7				
University	Men	330	154	22	21	85	23	3	308	13	6	3
of California	Percer		50.0	7.1	6.8	27.6	7.5	1.0			_	_
California	Women			56	30	83	26	2	389	8	6	4
	Percer		49.4	14.4	7.7	21.3	6.7	0.5				_
	Total	737	346	78	51	168	49	5	697	21	12	7
	Percen	16	49.6	11 2	7.3	24.1	7.0	0.8				
The California		448	230	50	25	87	26	6	424	14	10	0
State	Percen		54.3	118	5.9	20.5	6.1	1.4		_		
University	Women		319	89	47	101	34	4	594	10	14	1
	Percen		53.7	150	7.9	17.0	5.7	0.7				
	Total	1,067	549	139	72	188	60	10	1,018	24	24	1
	Percen	ıt	53.9	13.7	7.0	18.5	5.9	1.0				
California	Men	1,714	854	292	167	173	99	20	1,605	21	53	35
Community	Percen		53.2	18.2	10.4	10.8	6.2	1.2				
Colleges	Women		968	350	164	152	91	30	1,755	18	54	22
	Percen		55.2	19.9	9.3	8.7	5.2	1.7		_		
	Total* Percen	3,564 it	1,823 54.2	642 19.1	331 9.8	325 9.7	190 5.7	50 1.5	3,361	39	107	57



County and Segment	Sex and Perceut	<u>Number</u>	White	Black	Hispanic	<u>Asian</u>	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No Response		Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
San Bernard	lino											
High School	Total Percent	9,074 t	6,282 69.2	675 7.4	1,738 19.1	269 3.0	42 0.5	68 0.8				
University of	Men Percen	186	128 72.3	3 1.7	20 11.3	23 13.0	2 1.1	1 0.6	177	7	2	0
California	Women	209	140	10	21	19	4	2	196	8	. 4	1
	Percen Total Percen	395	71.4 268 71.8	5.1 13 3.5	10.7 41 11.0	9.7 42 11.3	2.0 6 1.6	1.0 3 0.8	3 7 3	15	6	1
California	Men	353	245	15	43	29	6	4	342	5	. 6	0
State	Percen		71.6	4.4	12.6	8.5	1.8	1.1	072	J	U	Ū
University	Women	384	280	27	39	17	6	3	372	5	6	1
	Percent Total	t 737	7 5.3 525	7.2 42	10.5 82	4.6 46	1.6 12	0.8 7	714	10	12	1
	Percen		7 3.5	5.9	11.5	6.4	1.7	1.0	122	10		•
' California Community	Men Percen	1,271	841 68.6	96 7.8	221 18.0	26 2.1	14 1.2	28 2.3	12 2 6	9	29	7
Colleges	Women Percen	1,502	1, 0 37 71 .0	129 8.8	236 16.1	22 1.5	11 0.8	26 1.8	1461	9	25	7
	Total* Percen	2,786	1,887 69.9	225 8.3	459 17.0	1.3 48 1.8	26 1.0	54 2.0	2,699	19	54	14
Sacramento High School	Total Percen	8,027 t	5,439 67.8	867 10.8	729 9.1	805 10.0	129 1.6	58 0. 7				
University	Men	207	107	16	18	52	3 1. 5	1 0.6	197	6	1	3
of California	Percent Women Percent	242	54.3 151 66.5	8.1 17 7.5	9.1 12 5.3	26.4 37 16.3	5 2.2	5 2.2	227	9	5	1
	Total Percen	449	258 60.8	33 7.8	30 7.1	89 21.0	8 1.9	6 1.4	424	15	6	4
California State	Men Percen	25 0	162 67.5	21 8.8	22 9.2	29 12.0	6 2.5	0 0	240	5	5	0
University	Women Percen	374	279 77.1	20 5.5	22 6.1	28 7.7	11 3.0	2 0.6	362	4	7	1
	Total Percen	624 t	441 73.3	41 6.8	44 7.3	57 9.5	17 2.8	2 0.3	602	9	12	1
California Community	Men Percen	1,591 t	1,046 69.5	152 10.1	117 7.8	127 8.4	30 2.0	33 2.2	1,505	16	31	39
Colleges	Women Percen	1,695	1,142 70.9	174 10.8	124 7.8	123 7.6	26 1.6	21 . 1.3	1,610	18	42	25
	Total Percen	3,286	2,188 70.2	326 10.5	241 7.7	250 8.0	56 1.8	54 1.8	3,115	34	73	64



County and Segment	Sex and Percent	<u>Number</u>	<u>White</u>	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No Response	Other	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Contra Cost	a											
High School	Total Percent	7, 331	5,505 75.1	708 9.7	463 6.3	483 6.6	139 1.9	33 0.4				
University of	Men Percent	346 t	246 74.8	14 4.2	14 4.2	47 14.3	7 2.2	1 0.3	329	6	10	1
California	Women Percent		305 74.9	16 3.9	17 4.2	55 13.6	14 3.4	0 0	407	2 0	4	2
	Total Percent	779 t	551 74.9	30 4.1	31 4.2	102 13.9	21 2.8	1 0.1	736	26	14	3
The California State	Men Percent	268 t	209 83.3	15 6.0	5 2.0	15 6.0	5 2.0	2 0.7	251	8	6	3
University	Women Percent		281 81.9	14 4.0	17 5.0	23 6.7	5 1.5	3 0.9	343	6	4	2
	Total Percent	643 t	490 79.8	49 8.0	22 3.6	38 6.2	10 1.6	5 0.8	614	14	10	5
California Community	Men Percent	1,867	1,252 73.5	213 12.5	102 6.0	122 7.2	3 0.2	12 0.6	1,704	159	1	3
Colleges	Women Percent		1,314 76.9	157 9.2	118 6.9	101 5.9	0.2	15 0.9	1,709	159	0	4
	Total Percent	3,739 :	2,566 75.3	370 10.8	220 6.4	223 6.5	7 0.2	27 0.8	3,413	318	1	7
Riverside							_ 					
High School	Total Percent	6,559 :	4,338 66.1	432 6.6	1,553~· 23. 7	182 2.8	22 0.3	32 0.5				
University of	Men Percent	160	96 64.0	7 4.8	24 16.0	21 14.0	1 0.6	1 0.6	150	4	4	2
California	Women. Percent		109 60.2	13 7.2	40 22.1	16 8.8	1 0.6	2 1.1	181	10	5	0
	Total Percent	354 ;	205 62.3	20 6.2	64 19.4	37 11.2	0 0	3 0.9	329	14	9	2
The California State	Men Percent	176	117 71.8	6 3.7	2 1 14.7	12 7.4	0 0	4 2.4	163	2	5	0
University	Women Percent		166 70.9	13 5.6	41 17.5	9 3.8	1 0.4	4 1.8	234	4	4	0
	Total Percent	412	283 71.3	19 4.8	65 16.4	21 5.3	1 0.2	8 2.0	397	6	9	0
California Community	Men Percent	996	647 66.8	68 7.0	208 21.5	20 2.0	6 0.6	20 2.1	969	1	14	12
Colleges	Women Percent	1,206	806 68.4	87 7.4	237 20.0	21 1.8	9 0.8	19 1.6	1,179	0	17	10
	Total* Percent	2,203	1,454 67.7	155 7.2	445 20.7	41 1.9	15 0.7	39 1.8	2,149	1	31	22



County and Segment	Sex and Percent	Number	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	<u>Filipino</u>	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No Response	<u>Other</u>	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Ventura												
High School	Total Perce	6,106 ent	4,44 9 72.8	124 2.0	1,115 18.3	227 3.7	120 2.0	71 1.2				
University of	Men Perce	208	137 69.2	3 1.5	21 10.6	26 13.1	6 3.0	5 2.6	198	7	0	3
California	Womer Perce		144 67.0	7 3.3	27 12.6	23 10.7	7 3.2	7 3. 2	215	7	. 0	0
	Total Perce	430 nt	281 68.0	10 2.4	48 11 6	49 11.9	13 3.2	12 2.9	413	14	0	3
The California	a Men Perce	224 nt	158 72.8	2 0.9	34 15.7	15 6.9	6 2.8	2 0 9	217	1	4	2
University	Womer Perce	nt	181 81.2	1 0.4	26 11.7	10 4.5	5 2.2	0 0.0	223	6	6	0
	Total Perce	459 nt	339 77.0	3 0.7	60 13.6	25 5.7	11 2.5	2 0. 5	440	7	10	2
Cal ifornia Community	Men Perce	1,215 nt	863 72.8	32 2.7	207 17.5	40 3.4	24 2.0 _.	19 1.6	1,185	2	24	4
Colleges	Womer Perce	nt	841 71.5	38 3.2	212 18.0	39 3 .3	22 1.9	25 2.1	1,177	0	19	2
	Total Perce	2,413 nt	1,704 72.2	70 3.0	419 17.7	79 3.3	46 1.9	44 1.9	2,362	2	43	6
Fresno							· •					
High School	Total Perce	5,540 nt	3084 55.7	293 5.3	1,721 31.1	3 5 5 6.4	29 0.5	58 1.0				
University of	Men Perce		53 59.2	3 3.1	18 18.3	13 13.2	3 3.1	3 3.1	98	9	1	1
California	Women	nt	48 63.2	5 6.6	15 19.7	7 9.2	0.0	1 1.3	76	7	5	0
	Total Perce	197 nt	106 60.9	3 4.6	33 19.0	20 11.5	3 1.7	4 2.3	174	16	6	1
The California State	Men Perce	317 nt	181 59.8	13 4.3	56 18.5	45 14.8	4 1.3	4 1.3	303	6	5	3
University	Women Perce	nt	264 63.6	24 5.8	87 20.9	31 7.5	2 0.5	7 1.7	415	7	11	0
	Total Perce	750 nt	445 62.0	37 5.2	143 19.9	76 10.6	6 0.8	11 1.5	718	13	16	3
California Community	Men Perce	1,010 nt	500 54.0	67 1.3	282 30.4	57 6.1	8 0.9	12 1.3	926	20	29	35
Colleges	Women	nt	589 60.9	65 6.7	251 25.9	38 4.0	7 0.7	17 1.8	967	12	12	25
	Total Perce	2,026 nt	1,089 57.5	132 7.0	533 28.1	95 5.0	15 0.8	29 1.6	1,893	32	41	60



County and Segment	Sex and Percent	<u>Number</u>	White	Black	<u>Hispanic</u>	Asian	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No <u>Response</u>	Other	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
San Francis	co											
High School	Total Percer	3,749 nt	610 16.3	539 14.4	521 13.8	1,672 44.6	389 10.4	18 0.5				
University of	Men Percer	145 nt	22 16.4	9 6.7	12 9.0	85 63.4	6 4.5	0 0.0	134	4	2	5
California	Women Percer	nt	29 20.1	9 6.3	14 9.7	82 56.9	9 6.3	1 0.7	144	4	7	2
	Total Percer	302 nt	51 18.3	18 6.5	26 9.4	167 60.0	15 5.4	1 0.4	278	8	9	7
The California State	Men Percer	283 nt	42 15.9	20 7.6	12 4.5	174 65.9	15 5.7	1 0.4	264	9	6	4
University	Women Percer	nt	33 9.7	32 9.4	24 7.1	212 62.5	38 11.3	0 0	339	15	15	4
	Total Percer	656 nt	75 12.4	52 8.6	36 6.0	386 64.0	53 8.8	1 0.2	603	24	21	8
California Community	Men Percer	572 nt	54 10. 2	63 11.9	49 9.3	310 58.7	48 9.1	4 0.8	528	22	1	21
Colleges	Women Percer	ıt	72 13.2	71 13 ^	53 9.7	268 49.0	80 14.6	3 0.5	547	17	0	15
	Total Percer	1,151 nt	126 11.7	134 12.5	102 9.5	578 53.8	128 11.9	7 0.6	1,075	39	1	36
San Mateo												
High School	Total Percer	4,993 nt	3,154 63.2	318 6.4	631 12.6	535 10.7	349 7.0	6 ~. 0.1				
University of	Men Percen	189 it	93 54.0	5 2.9	13 7.6	45 26.2	16 9.3	0 0.0	172	6	6	5
California	Women Percer	226	122 57.8	7 3.3	16 7.6	54 25.6	11 5.2	1 0.5	211	8	3	4
	Total Percer	415 it	215 56.1	12 3.2	29 9.6	99 25.8	27 7.0	1 0.3	383	14	9	9
The California State	Men Percen	189 it	117 65.7	4 2.2	17 9.5	24 13.5	14 7.9	1.2	178	7	4	0
University	Women Percen		178 69.8	13 5.1	18 7.0	33 12.9	12 4.7	1 0.4	255	9	4	1
	Total Percen	458 it	295 68.2	17 3.9	35 8.0	57 13.2	26 6.0	3 0.7	433	16	8	1
California Community	Men Percen	1,173 it	693 64.6	65 6.0	110 10.2	121 11.3	79 7.4	5 0.5	1,073	74	0	26
Colleges	Women Percen	1,210 t	764 66.8	88 7.7	127 11.2	94 8.2	66 5.8	4 0.3	1,143	52	1	14
	Total* Percen	2,386 it	1,458 65.8	153 6.9	237 10.7	215 9.7	145 6.5	9 0.4	2,217	128	1	40



County and Segment	Sex and Percent	<u>Number</u>	<u>White</u>	Black	<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Asian</u>	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- <u>Total</u>	No <u>Response</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Kern												
High School	Total	3,971	2,585	194	1025	43	67	57				
	Percei		65.0	4.9	25.8	1.1	1.8	1.4				
University	Men	57	35	5	8	6	2	0	55	1	0	0
· of	Percei		62 .5	8.9	14.3	10.7	3.6	0				
California	Women		41	5	13	5	3	1	68	0	1	0
	Perce		60.3	7.4	19.0	7.4	4.4	1.5			•	
	Total	126	76	10	21	11	5	1	124	1	1	0
	Percei	nt	61.3	8.1	16.9	8.9	4.0	0.8				
The California	Men	127	80	7	26	5	2	1	121	2	4	0
State	Percer	nt	66.1	5.8	21.5	4.1	1.7	0.8				
University	Women	182	115	12	37	4	7	3	178	0	3	1
	Percer	nt	64.6	6.7	20.8	2.2	3.9	1.8				
	Total	309	195	19	63	9	9	4	299	2	7	1
•	Percei	nt	65.2	6.4	21.1	3.0	3 0	1.3				
California	Men	842	567	38	141	15	17	19	797	24	16	5
Community	Percei	nt	71.1	4.7	17.7	1.9	2.2	2.4				
Colleges	Women		567	35	137	15	18	21	793	14	20	6
	Percer		71.5	4.4	17.3	1.9	2.3	2.6				
	Total*	1,680	1,135	74	281	30	35	40	1,595	38	36	11
	Percer	nt	71.2	4.6	17.6	1.9	2.2	2.5				
San Joaquin High School	Total Percer	3,549 nt	2,159 60.8	207 5.8	652 18.4	402 11.3	117 3.3	12 0.4	7			
University	Men	74	43	1	9	16	,	1	71	0	1	2
of	Percer		60.6	1.4	12.7	22.5	1 1.4	1.4	11	U	1	4
California	Women		27	5	3	22.5 1£	5	0	55	1	2	1
Camorina	Percer		49.0	9.1	5.5	27.3	9.1	0	.,0	•	_	•
	Total	133	70	6	12	31	6	i	126	1	3	3
	Percer	nt	55.5	4.8	9.5	24.6	4.8	0.8				
The California	Men	84	46	1	15	12	3	1	78	1	5	0
State	Percer		59 .0	1.3	19.2	15.4	3.8	1.3		•	·	Ū
University	Women		59	5	9	7	3	1	84	2	2	0
2 :	Percer		70.2	6.0	10 7	8.3	3.6	1.2		_	_	-
	Total	172	105	6	. 24	19	6	2	162	3	7	0
	Percer	nt	64.8	3.7	14.8	11.7	3.7	1.3				
California	Men	336	182	19	66	14	6	5	292	1	37	6
Community	Percer		62.3	6.5	22.6	4.8	2.1	1.7	201	•	•	
Colleges	Women		154	27	50	12	6	9	258	1	30	1
J	Percer		59.7	10.5	19.4	4.7	2.2	3.5		_	-	
	Total*	628	338	46	116	26	12	14	552	2	67	7
	Percer	nt	61.2	8.3	21.0	4.7	2.2	2.6				

County and Segment	Sex and Percent	Number	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- <u>Total</u>	No Response	<u>Other</u>	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Monterey												
High School	Tota.	2,344	1,312	133	607	169	103	20				
-	Perce		55.0	5.7	25.9	7.2	4.4	0.8				
University	Men	51	29	0	10	4	5	1	49	· 2	0	0
of	Perce		59.2	0.0	20.4	8.2	10.2	2.0				
California	Women		47	0	17	8	3	2	77	2	1	0
	Perce		61.0	0.0	22.1	10.4	3.9	2.6				
	Total	131	76	0	27	12	8	3	126	4	1	0
	Perce	nt	60.3	0.0	21.4	9.5	6.4	2.4				
The California		7 0	39	3	11	12	2	0	67	0	2	1
State	Percei		58.2	4.5	16.4	17.9	3.0	0.0				
University	Women		48	3	4	10	3	0	68	1	0	0
	Percei		70.6	4.4	5.9	14.7	4.4	0.0				
	Total	139	87	6	15	22	5	0	135	1	2	1
	Percei	nt	64.4	4.4	11.1	16.3	3.8	0.0				
California	Men	363	196	24	5.4	19	14	7	330	26	6	1
Community	Percer		59.4	7.3	3 .4	5.8	4.2	2.1				
Colleges	Women		229	18	83	22	2 0	9	381	23	13	3
	Percer		60.1	4.8	21.8	5.8	5.2	2.4				
	Total	783	425	42	153	41	34	15	711	49	19	4
	Perc .		59.8	5.9	21.5	5.8	4.8	2.2				
Sonoma												
High School	Total Percer	2,924 nt	2,570 87.º	50 1.7	192 6.6	75 2 .6	19 0.6	18 0.6			~	
University	Men	69	50	3	3	2	1	2	61	5	3	0
of	Percer	nt	82.0	4.9	4.9	3.3	1.6	3.3				
California	Women		59	0	4	4	2	0	69	3	3	0
	Percer		8 5 5	0	5.8	5.8	2.9	0.0				
	Total	144	· 10 9	3	7	6	3	2	130	8	6	0
	Percer	ıt	83 8	2.3	5.4	4.6	2.3	1.6				
The California		92	. 78	1	2	3	0	2	86	3	1	2
State	Percer		1.2	2.3	3 .5	0	2.3					
University	Women		90	1	5	1	3	0	100	1	3	0
	Percen		9 0 0	10	5.0	1.0	3.0	0 0				
	Total	19 6	168	2	7	4	3	2	186	4	4	2
	Percen	nt .	9 0.3	1.1	3.8	2.1	1.6	1.1				
California	Men	382	305	11	26	15	3	1	361	18	1	2
Community	Percen	ıt	84.5	3.0	7.2	4.1	0 8	0.3				
Colleges	Women		343	2	19	8	3	3	378	13	4	0
	Percen		90.7	0.5	5.0	2.1	0.8	0.8				
	Total*	778	649	13	45	23	6	4	740	31	5	2
	Percen	ıt	87.8	1.7	6.1	3.1	0.8	0.5				



County and Segment	Sex 1 Percent	Number	<u>White</u>	Black	Hispanic	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Filipino</u>	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- <u>Total</u>	No Response	Other	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Sai Barba	ra											
High School	Total Percen	2, 766	1,995 72.1	84 3.0	531 19.2	120 4.3	29 1.0	7 0.4				
University	Men	110	83	3	10	10	2	1	100	8	2	0
of California	Percen Women	117	83.0 79	3.0 5	10.0 16	10.0 12	2.0 0	1.0 1	113	1	, 3	0
	Percen		70.0	4.4	14.2	10.6	0	0.8				
	Total Percen	236 t	162 73.0	8 3.6	26 11.7	22 9.9	2 0.9	2 0.9	222	9	5	0
The California		56	44	4	3	3	0	0	54	2	0	0
State University	Percen Women	it 67	81.6 50	$\begin{array}{c} 7.4 \\ 2 \end{array}$	5.5 7	5.5	0 0	0	63	0	4	0
Cinversity	Percen	_	79.4	3.2	11.1	4 6.3	0	0 0	63	U	4	0
	Total	123	13. 4 94	6	10	7	0	0	117	2	4	0
	Percen		80.3	5.1	8.6	6.0	0	ņ		2	-2	Ū
The California		577	412	27	71	33	5	7	555	11	8	3
Community	Percen		74.2	4.9	12.8	5.9	0.9	1.3			_	_
Colleges	Women	623	455	13	87	24	5	8	592	14	9	8
	Percen Total*	1,240	76.8 907	$\frac{2.2}{40}$	14.7 158	4.1 57	0.8 10	1.4 15	1,187	25	17	11
	Percen		76.4	3.4	13.3	4.8	0.8	1.3	1,101	20	11	11
Stanislaus High School	Total Percen	2,745 t	2,143 78.1	46 1.7	404 14.7	113 4.1	20 0.7	19 0.7				
University	Men	38	25	1	2	3	2	0	33	3	1	1
of	Percen		75.7	3.0	6.1	9.1	6.1	0.0				
California	Women	45	29	1	2	8	1	0	41	3	0	1
	Percen		70.7	2.4	4.9	19.5	2.4	0.0	7.4			2
	Total Percen	83 t	54 73.0	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$	4 5.4	11 14.9	3 4.0	0 0.0	74	6	1	2
The California State		99	72 80.9	0 0.0	6 6.7	9 10.1	2 2.2	0 0 0	8 9	2	6	2
University	Percen Women	t 126	92	0.0 1	16	10.1	2.2	0	116	1	9	0
O MITTEL SILY	Percen		79 3	0.9	13.8	5.2	0.9	00	110	1	3	J
	Total	225	164	1	22	15	3	e	205	3	15	2
	Percen		80 C	0.5	10.7	7.3	1.5	0.0				
The California		389	282	6	55	10	1	4	358	25	0	6
Community	Percen		78.8	1.7	15.4	2.8	0.3	1.0		10	,	10
Colleges	Women Percen	477	360 8 0.7	8 1.8	60 13.4	11	$\frac{2}{0.4}$	5 1 2	446	. 19)	12
	Total*	t 8 9 7	659	1.5	13.4	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5 \\ 21 \end{array}$	0.4 3	1 2 10	823	45	0	29
	Percen		80.0	1.8	14.0	2.6	0.4	1.2	040	40	U	4 J
												_



		Number	White	Black	Hispanic	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Filipino</u>	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No Response	<u>Other</u>	Resident <u>Alien</u>
High School T	iotal	2,785	1,729	49	877	76	30	24				
3	Percen		62.1	1.7	31.5	2.7	1.1	0.9				
University M	Men	41	22	0	12	1	2	1	38	2	1	0
of	Percen	ıt	57.9	0.0	31.6	2.6	5.3	2.6		_	_	_
California V	Vomen	41	18	0	16	3	0	0	37	3	1	0
π	Percen		48.7	0.0	43.2	8.1	0.0	0.0	~~		_	
1	Total Percen	82	40 53.4	0	28 37.3	4 5.3	2 2.7	1 1.3	75	5	2	0
	rercen	16	00.4		31.3	0.5	2.1	1.3				
The California N	M en	59	37	1	13	3	4	1	59	0	0	0
State	Percen		62.7	1.7	22	5.1	6.8	1.7				
•	Vomen	54	27	1	16	6	1	1	52	0	0	2
	Percen		52	1.9	30.8	11.5	1.9	1.9				
=	otal	113	64	2	29	9	5	2	111	0	0	2
	Percen	.t	57.7	1.8	26.1	8.1	4.5	1.8				
California M	l en	563	327	11	127	11	6	18	500	20	22	21
	Percen		65.4	2.2	25.4	2.2	1.2	3.6	000	20	44	21
Colleges V	Vomen	595	352	14	141	4	5	25	541	13	18	23
	Percen		65.1	2.6	26.1	0.7	0. 9	4.6				
	'otal*	1,159	680	25	268	15	11	43	1,042	33	40	44
	Percen	t	65.2	2.4	25.7	1.5	1.1	4.1				
		_										
Marin												
High School T	'otal	2,136	1,932	43	64	92	3	2				
	Percen	t	90.4	2.0	3.0	4.4	0.1	0.1				
T'aireanter 3		105	100	0	4			•			_	_
•	Ien Percen	165	139 90.2	0 0.0	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 2.6 \end{array}$	11 7.2	0 0.0	0	154	9	1	1
	rercen Vomen	ເ 183	90.2 147	0.0 4	4.6	1.2	0.0 1	0.0 0	174	7	0	2
	Percen		84.5	2.3	3.4	9.2	0.6	0.0	1 (4	•	U	4
	otal	348	286	4	10	27	1	0.0	328	16	1	3
	Percen		87.3	1.2	3.0	۶.2	0.3	0.0	340	••	-	J
	_											
The California M		105	94	0	1	4	0	0	9 9	2	1	3
	Percen		94 9	0.0	1.1	4.0	0.0	0.0			_	
	Vomen	140	117	3	6	7	0	0	133	4	3	0
	Percent otal	t 245	88 0 211	2.2 3	4.5 7	5.3	0.0	0.0	000	•		0
	otai Percent		90.9	1.3	3.0	11 4.8	0 0.0	0 0.0	232	6	4	3
	i ci ccii	v	30.3	1.0	5.0	4.0	0.0	0.0				
California M	[en	321	284	6	10	6	0	1	307	5	6	3
	Percent	t	92 .5	2.0	3.3	2.0	0.0	0.2		-	-	
_	Jomen	336	275	13	14	12	2	6	322	7	4	3
	Percent		85.4	4.0	4.3	3.8	0.6	1.9				
	otal	657	55 9	19	24	18	2	7	62 9	12	10	6
	Percent	t	8 8.9	3.0	3.8	2.9	0.3	1.1				



County and Segment	Sex and Percent	Number	White	<u>Black</u>	<u> Hispanic</u>	Asian	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No <u>Response</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Solano												
High School	Total Percer	2,454 nt	1,510 61.5	395 16.1	191 7.8	152 6.2	189 7.7	17 0.7				
University of	Men Percei	76 nt	36 52.3	7 10.1	7 10.1	10 14.5	8 11.6	1 1.4	69	6	1	0
California	Women Percer	nt	31 41.9	9 12.2	5 6.8	12 16.1	17 23.0	0.0	74	2	. 1	0
	Total Percer	153 nt	67 46.8	16 11.2	12 8.4	22 15.4	25 17.5	1 0.7	143	8	2	0
The California State	ı Men Per c er	79 nt	56 74.6	6 8.0	5 6.7	2 2.7	6 8.0	0 0.0	75	2	2	0
University	Women Percer	nt	47 56.6	9 10.8	10 12.0	5 6.0	12 14.6	0.0	83	3	0	0
	Total Percer	165 nt	103 65.2	15 9.5	15 9.5	7 4.4	18 11.4	0 0.0	158	5	2	0
California Community	Men Percer	301 nt	16 2 57.2	43 15.2	21 7.4	20 7 .1	28 9.9	9 3.2	283	3	14	1
Colleges	Women Percer	nt	182 59.3	56 18.2	21 6.8	20 6.5	24 7.8	4 1.3	307	1	8	0
	Total* Percer	621 nt	347 58.4	99 16.7	43 7.2	40 6.7	52 8. 7	13 2.3	594	4	22	1
Santa Cruz					_							
High School	Total Percer	1,712 nt	1,353 79.1	11 0.6	269 15.7	59 3.4	15 0.9	5 0.3				
University of	Men Percer	87 nt	64 78.0	1 1.2	3 3.6	11 13.4	2 2.4	1 1.2	82	3	0	2
California	Women Percer	nt	56 82.3	1 1.5	6 8.8	4 5.9	0.0	1 1.5	68	0		0
	Total Percer	15/ nt	120 80.1	2 1.3	9 6.0	15 10.0	2 1.3	2 1.3	150	3	2	2
The California State	Men Percen	63 nt	42 71.2	2 3.4	8 13.5	7 11.9	0 0.0	0 0.0	59	2	2	0
University	Women Percen	ıt	70 89.8	0.0	4 5.2	3.8	1 1.2	0 0.0	78	2	3	0
	Total Percen	146 it	112 81.7	2 1.4	12 8.7	10 7.3	1 0.7	0 0.0	137	4	5	0
California Community	Men Percen		314 82.4	4 1.0	45 11.8	9 2.4	6 1.6	3 0.8	381	0	0	3
Colleges	Women Percen		310 82.9	3 0.8	41 11.0	9 2.4	5 1.3	6 1.6	374	0	1	4
	Total Percen	763 it	624 82.6	7 0.9	86 11.4	18 2.4	11 1.4	9 1.3	755	0	1	7



County and <u>Segment</u>	Sex and Percent	<u>Number</u>	White	Black	<u> Hispanic</u>	Asian	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No Response	Other	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Placer												
High School	Total Percer	1,842 nt	1,682 9 1.3	7 0.4	115 6.2	24 1.3	1 0.1	13 0.7				
University	Men	31	28	0	1	0	0	1	30	1	0	0
of	Percer		93.4	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	3.3				
California	Women		43	1	0	2	0	0	46	Ò	3	0
	Percer		93.5	2.2	า.0	4.3	0.0	0.0				
	Total	. 80	71	1	1	2	0	1	76	1	3	0
	Percer	nt	93.5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0	1.3				
The California	a Men	54	49	0	0	2	0	0	51	1	1	1
State	Percer	nt	96.1	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0				
University	Women	72	72	0	0	0	0	0	72	0	0	0
-	Percer	nt	100.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Total	126	121	0	0	2	0	0	123	1	1	1
	Percer	nt	98.4	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0				
California	Men	277	234	1	9	11	3	9	267	0	4	6
Community	Percer	ıt	87.6	0.4	3.4	4.1	1.1	3.4				
Colleges	Women	301	286	0	2	2	1	2	29 3	0	6	2
_	Percer	nt	97.6	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.7				
	Total	578	520	1	11	13	4	11	560	0	10	8
	Percer	nt	92.8	0.2	2.0	2.3	0.7	2.0				
Merced High School	Tota!	1,612	825	169	420	142	50	6				
mgn School	Percer		51.2	10.5	26.0	8.8	3.1	0.4				
University	Men	16	12	1	1	1	0	0	15	0	0	1
of	Percen		79.9	6.7	6.7	6.7	0.0	0.0				
California	Women	14	10	1	1	1	1	0	14	0	0	0
	Percen		71.6	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	0.0				
	T. tal	30	22	2	2	2	1	0	2 9	0	0	1
	Percen	nt	75.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	3.4	0.0				
The California	Men	46	29	1	8	6	0	0	44	0	i	1
State	Percen	ıt	66.0	2.3	18.2	13.5	0.0	0.0				
University	Women	74	51	7	11	3	0	ŋ	72	0	2	0
	Percen	ıt	70.8	9.7	15.3	4.2	0.0	0.0				
	Total	120	80	3	19	9	0	0	116	0	3	1
	Percen	it	69 .0	6. 9	16.4	7.7	0.0	0.0				
California	Men	322	201	21	47	11	2	4	286	3	4	29
Community	Percen		70.4	7.3	16.4	3.8	0.7	1.4		-		
Colleges	Women	335	198	18	72	4	2	2	296	1	6	32
_	Percen		66 9	6.0	24.3	1.4	07	0.7				
	Total*	658	400	3 9	119	15	4	6	583	4	10	61
	Percen	it	68.6	6.7	20 4	2.6	07	1.0				
												



\$ 12 h

County and Segment	Sex and Percent	Number	White	Black	Hispanic	<u>Asian</u>	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- <u>Total</u>	No <u>Response</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Shasta					•							
High School	Total Percen	1,409 at	1,288 91.4	12 0.9	37 2.6	10 0.7	0 0.0	62 4.4				
University	Men	20	19	0	0	0	0	0	19	1	0	0
of California	Percen Women	20	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 1	19	1	. 0	0
	Percen Total Percen	40	89.4 36 94.8	0.0 0 0.0	0.0 0 0.0	5.3 1 2.6	0.0 0 0.0	5.3 1 2.6	38	2	0	0
The California		33	27	0.0	3	0	0.0	1	31	2	0	0
State University	Percen Women		87.1 29	0.0	9.7 0	0.0	0.0	3.2	29	0	1	0
•	Percen Total		100.0 56	0.0	0.0 3	0.0	0.0	0.0 1	60	2	1	0
	Percen		93.3	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	1.7				
California Community	Men Percen	280 it	· 242 90.0	4 1.5	8 2.9	1 0.4	0 0.0	14 5.2	269	10	1	0
Colleges	Women Percen	2 9 0 it	262 9 2.0	2 0.7	8 2.8	3 1.0	0 0.0	10 3.5	า85	5	0	0
	1 01 001			•	1.0	4	0	25	555	15	1	0
	Total* Percen	571 it	504 9 0.8	6 1.1	16 2.9	0.7	0.0	4.5	000	10		
	Total* Percen											
San Luis Ob High School	Total* Percen	1,412										
High School University	Total* Percen Dispo Total Percen Men	1,412 at	90.8 1,214 86.0	1.1 17 1.2 0	2.9 136 9.6	0.7 31 2.2 4	0.0 11 0.8	3 0.2 2	28	1	0	0
High School	Total* Percen Dispo Total Percen Men Percen Women	1,412 at 29 at 47	90.8 1,214 86.0 18 64.3 32	1.1 17 1.2 0 0.0 0	2.9 136 9.6 3 10.7 4	0.7 31 2.2 4 14 3 9	0.0 11 0.8 1 3.6 1	3 0.2 2 7.1 0			0	0
High School University of	Total* Percen Dispo Total Percen Men Percen	1,412 at 29 at 47 at 76	90.8 1,214 86.0 18 64.3	1.1 17 1.2 0 0.0	2.9 136 9.6 3 10.7	0.7 31 2.2 4 14 3	0.0 11 0.8 1 3.6	3 0.2 2 7.1	28	1		
High School University of California	Total* Percen Total Percen Men Percen Women Percen Total Percen	1,412 at 29 at 47 at 76 at 72	90.8 1,214 86.0 18 64.3 32 69.6 50 67.6	1.1 17 1.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0	2.9 136 9.6 3 10.7 4 8.7 7 9.4	0.7 31 2.2 4 14 3 9 19 6 13 17.6	0.0 11 0.8 1 3.6 1 2.2 2 2.7	3 0.2 2 7.1 0 0.0 2 2.7	28	1	0	0
High School University of California	Total* Percen Total Percen Men Percen Women Percen Total Percen a Men Per en Women	1,412 it 29 it 47 it 76 it 72	90.8 1,214 86.0 18 64.3 32 69.6 50 67.6 61 84.7 61	1.1 17 1.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0	2.9 136 9.6 3 10.7 4 8.7 7 9.4 3 4.2 6	0.7 31 2.2 4 14 3 9 19 6 13 17.6 4 5 5 0	0.0 11 0.8 1 3.6 1 2.2 2 2.7 0 0.0 1	3 0.2 2 7.1 0 0.0 2 2.7 2 2.8 1	28 46 74	1 1 2	0	0
High School University of California The California	Total* Percen Total Percen Men Percen Women Percen Total Percen a Men Per -n	1,412 at 29 at 47 at 76 at 76 at 148	90.8 1,214 86.0 18 64.3 32 69.6 50 67.6 61 84.7	1.1 17 1.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0	2.9 136 9.6 3 10.7 4 8.7 7 9.4	0.7 31 2.2 4 14 3 9 19 6 13 17.6 4 5 5	0.0 11 0.8 1 3.6 1 2.2 2 2.7 0 0.9	3 0.2 2 7.1 0 0.0 2 2.7	28 46 74	1 1 2	0 0 0	0 0
High School University of California The California State University California	Total* Percen Total Percen Men Percen Women Percen Total Percen Men Percen Total Percen Men Percen Men Percen Men Percen Momen Percen Total Percen	1,412 tt 29 tt 47 tt 76 tt 76 tt 148 tt 250	90.8 1,214 86.0 18 64.3 32 69.6 50 67.6 61 84.7 61 84.7 22 11.7	1.1 17 1.2 0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2.8 3 4.2 5 3.5	2.9 136 9.6 3 10.7 4 8.7 7 9.4 3 4.2 6 8.3 9 6.3	0.7 31 2.2 4 14 3 9 19 6 13 17.6 4 5 5 0 0 0 4 2.8	0.0 11 0.8 1 3.6 1 2.2 2 2.7 0 0.0 1 1.4 1 0.7	3 0.2 2 7.1 0 0.0 2 2.7 2 2.8 1 1.4 3 2.0	28 46 74 72 75.	1 1 2 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 2
High School University of California The California State University	Total* Percen Total Percen Men Percen Women Percen Total Percen Men Percen Total Percen Total Percen	1,412 tt 29 tt 47 tt 76 tt 76 tt 148 t 250 tt 260	90.8 1,214 86.0 18 64.3 32 69.6 50 67.6 61 84.7 61 84.7 22 61.7	1.1 17 1.2 0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2.8 3 4.2 5 3.5	2.9 136 9.6 3 10.7 4 8.7 7 9.4 3 4.2 6 8.3 9 6.3	0.7 31 2.2 4 14 3 9 19 6 13 17.6 4 5 5 0 0 0 4 2.8	0.0 11 0.8 1 3.6 1 2.2 2 2.7 0 0.0 1 1.4 1 0.7	3 0.2 7.1 0 0.0 2 2.7 2 2.8 1 1.4 3 2.0	28 46 74 72 75.	1 1 2 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2 2



County and Segment Butte	Sex and Percent	Number	White	Black	Hispanic	<u>Asian</u>	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- <u>Total</u>	No Response	Other	Non- Resident Alien
			•									
High School	Total	1,332	1,189	19	60	28	1	35				
	Percen	t	89.3	1.4	4.5	2.1	0.1	2.6				
University	Men	2:	17	0	2	0	0	0	19	3	1	0
of	Percen		89.5	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		J	•	Ū
California	Women	25	19	1	2	0	0.0	0.0	22	1	2	0
	Percen		86.4	4.5	9.1	0	0	0		-	_	Ū
	Total	48	36	1	4	0	0	0	41	4	3	0
	Percen	t	87.8	2.4	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0				
The California	Ven	89	76	2	3	1	1	2	85	3	1	0
State	Percen		89.4	2.4	3.5	1.2	1.2	2.3	00	J	•	U
University	Women	95	88	2	4	1	0	0	95	0	0	0
,	Percen		92.6	2.2	4.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	•	·	·	J
	Total	184	164	4	7	2	1	2	180	3	1	0
	Percen		91.1	2.2	3 9	1.1	0.6	1.1	-00	J	_	J
California	Men	203	159	6	16	6	0	8	195	1	7	0
Community	Percen		81.5	3.1	8.2	3.1	0.0	4.1	150	•	•	U
Colleges	Women	244	215	1	13	3	0.0	4.1	236	0	6	2
	Percen		91.1	0.4	5.5	1.3	0.0	1.7	200	v	J	_
	Total	447	374	7	29	9	0	12	431	1	13	2
	Percen		86.8	1.6	6.7	2.1	0.0	2.8	101	-		_
Imperial High School	Total Percen	1,269 t	301 23.7	28 2.2	904 71.2	19 1.5	8 0.6	9 0.8				
T*=:= ::t		23	٥	0	10		0	0	00	0		0
University of	Men Percen		9 40.9	0 0.0	12 54.5	1 4.6	0 0.0	0 0.0	22	0	1	0
California	Women	17	40. 3	0.0 N	54.5 7	4.0	0.0	0.0	16	0	1	0
Camorina	Percen		56 2	0.0	43.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	10	U	1	U
	Total	40	18	0.0	19	1	0.0	0.0	38	0	2	0
	Percen		47.4	0.0	50.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	00	ŭ	_	v
The California	Vien	21	9	0	11	1	0	0	21	0	0	0
State	Percent		42.8	0.0	52.4	4.8	0.0	0.0	21	0	U	U
University	Women	22	9	0.0	12	1	0.0	0.0	22	0	J	0
	Percent		40.9	0 0	54.5	4.6	0.0	0.0		J		·
	Total	43	18	0	23	2	0	0	43	0	0	0
	Percen		41.8	0.0	53.5	4.7	0.0	0.0				
California	Men	256	38	10	180	6	1	5	240	0	14	2
Community	Percent		15.8	4.2	75.0	2.5	0.4	2.1		•		_
Colleges	Women	369	57	10	275	2	2	6	352	0	16	1
•	Percent		16.2	2.8	78.1	0.6	0.6	1.7			_	
	Total	625	95	20	455	8	3	11	592	0	30	3
	Percent	t	16.0	3.4	76.8	1.4	0.6	13				
										<u> </u>		



County and Segment	Sex and Percent	Number	<u>White</u>	Black	<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Asian</u>	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- <u>Total</u>	No <u>Response</u>	<u>O'her</u>	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Yolo												
High School	Total Percen	1,054 t	7 9 4 75.3	28 2.6	176 16.7	44 4.2	3 9.3	9 0. 9				
University of	Men Percen	79	53 71.6	5 6.8	8 10.8	8 10.8	0 0.0	0 0.0	74	3	1	1
California	Women Percen	76	59 79.7	4 5 4	6 8.1	4 5.4	0.0 0.0	1 1.4	74	1	1	0
	Total Percen	155 .t	112 75.7	9 6.1	14 9.5	12 8.1	0 0.0	1 0.6	148	4	2	1
The California State	Men Percen	51 t	42 85.7	0 0.0	7 14.3	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	49	. 0	1	1
University	Women Percen	68 t	49 74.2	0.0	15 22.8	2 3.0	0.0	0 0.0	66	2	0	0
	Total Percen	119 t	91 79.1	0 0.0	22 19.1	2 1.8	0.0	0 0.0	115	2	1	. 1
California Community	Men Percen		113 70.2	6 3.7	23 14.3	8 5	3 1.8	8 5.0	161	1	2	2
Colleges	Women Percen Total	160 t 327	117 77.0 231	6 3.9 12	23 15.1 46	3 2.0 11	1 0.7 4	2 1.7 10	152	1	5 7	2
	Percen		73.6	3.8	14.6	3.5	1.3	3.2	314	2		4
El Dorado						- u	<u>-</u>					
High School	Total Percen	1,054 t	989 93.9	1 0.1	31 2. 9	14 1.3	5 0.5	14 1.3				
University of	Men Percent	15 t	14 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	14	1	0	0
California	Women Percent		22 100.0	0.0	0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0.0	22	2	0	0
	Total Percent	3 9 t	36 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	36	3	0	0
The California State	Percent		52 9 6.4	0 0 0	1 1 8	0 0.0	1 1.8	0 0.0	54	0	0	0
University	Women Percent		60 9 3.7	0.0	· 4 7	0.0	1.6	0 0.0	64	2	0	0
	Total Percent	120 t	112 94.9	0 0.0	4 3.4	0 0.0	2 1.7	0 0.0	118	2	0	0
California Community	Men Percent		162 9 4.8	0 0.0	5 2. 9	1 0 6	0 0.0	3 1.7	171	0	1	0
Colleges	Women Percent		198 93.8	0 0.0	6 2.8	2 0.9	0 0.0	5 2.5	211	4	0	1
	Total Percent	38 8	360 94 .2	0 0.0	11 2. 9	3 0.8	0 0.0	8 2.1	382	4	1	1



County and Segment	Sex and Percent N	<u> Vamber</u>	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No <u>Response</u>		Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Napa												
High School	Total	802	703	2	61	19	10	7				
8 00::00:	Percen		87.7	0.2	7.6	2.4	1.2	0.9				
	1 01 001.	.•	••••	٥.٠	1.0	-	1.0	0.5				
Universit,	Men	22	19	0	0	2	0	0	21	1	0	0
of	Percen		90.5	0.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0		•	Ū	Ū
California	Women	31	28	0	1	0	1	0.0	30	1	0	0
	Percen	t	93.4	0.0	3.3	0.0	3.3	0.0	00	<i>:</i>	·	·
	Total	53	47	0	1	2	1	0	51	2	0	0
	Percen	t	92.2	0.0	2.0	3.8	2.0	0.0		_		•
The California	Men	36	29	0	4	1	1	1	36	0	0	0
Stace	Percen	t	80.5	0.0	11.1	2.8	2.8	2.8		•		_
University	Women	37	35	0	1	1	0	0	37	0	0	0
	Percen	t	94.6	0.0	2.7	2.7	0.0	0.0				
	Total	73	64	0	5	2	1	1	73	0	0	0
	Percen	t	87. 7	0.0	6.8	2.7	14	1.4				
California .	Men	155	116	2	11	5	3	2	13 9	13	0	3
Community	Percen		83.4	1.4	7.9	3.6	2.3	1.4				
Colleges	Women	145	114	2	8	1	3	2	130	14	1	0
	Percen		87.7	15	6.2	8.0	2.3	1.5				
	Total	300	230	4	19	6	6	4	269	27	1	3
	Percen	t	85.5	1.5	7.1	2.2	2.2	1.5				
Humboldt High School	Total Percen	92 9 t	.13 87.6	6 0.6	26 2.3	15 1.6	1 0.1	68 7.3				`
University	Men	15	14	0	0	0	0	0	14	1	0	0
of	Percen		100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
California	Women	13	10	0	0	1	0	1	12	1	0	0
	Percen		83.4	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3				
	Total	28	24	0	0	1	0	1	26	2	0	0
	Percen	t	92.4	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	3.8				
		 .		_								
The California		54	48	0	0	1	0	4	71	1	2	0
State	Percen		90.6	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	75				
University	Women	74	58	2	6	1	0	4	53	1	0	0
	Percent		81.8	2.8	8.4	1.4	0.0	5.6			_	
	Total	128	106	2	6	2	0	8	124	2	2	0
	Percent	t	85.6	1.6	4.8	1.6	0.0	6.4				
California	Man	157	100	•			^	10	4.4-	_	_	_
California	Men	157	128	1	4	2	0	12	147	7	3	0
Community	Percent		87.1	0.7	2.7	1.4	0.0	8.2		_	_	_
Colleges	Women	162	142	0	2	0	0	10	154	5	2	1
	Percent		92.2	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	6.5	001	10	-	•
	Total	319	270	1	6	2	0	22	301	12	5	1
	Percent	L	89.7	0.3	2.0	0.7	0.0	7.3				
												



County and Segment	Sex and Percent N	<u>umber</u>	White	Black	<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Asian</u>	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No Response	Other	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Mendocino												
High School	Total Percent	858 t	769 89.6	5 0.6	42 4.9	14 1.6	1 0.1	27 3.2				
University	Men	24	21	0	0	2	0	0	23	1	0	0
of	Percent		91.3	0.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	0.0	10	•	•	•
California	Women	18	16	0	1 5.5	0.0	0 0.0	1 5.5	18	0	0	0
	Percent Total	t 42	89.ป 37	0.0	ა.ა 1	2	0.0	จ.อ 1	41	1	0	0
	Percent		90.3	0.0	2.4	4.9	0.0	2.4	41	1	U	U
The California	Men	32	26	0	4	1	0	0	31	1	0	0
State	Percent		83.9	0.0	12.9	3.2	0.0	9.0				
University	Women	43	36	0	1	1	0	2	40	2	1	0
	Percent		90.0	0.0	2.5	4.5	0.0	5.0	61	•		0
	Total	75	62	0	5 7.0	2	0	2	71	3	1	0
	Percent	t	87.4	0.0	7.0	2.8	0.0	2.8				
California	Men	120	86	2	6	3	0	6	103	13	3	1
Community	Percent	t	83.6	1.9	5.8	2.9	0.0	5.8				
Colleges	Women	166	131	0	9	0	0	6	146	14	5	1
	Percent		89.7	0.0	6.2	0.0	0.0	4.1				_
	Total	286	217	2	15	3	0	12	249	27	8	2
	Percent	t	87.2	0.8	6.0	1.2	0.0	4.8				
Kings												
High School	Total Percent	804 t	541 67.3	30 3.7	203 25.2	10 1.2	17 2.1	3 0.5				
University	Men	7	3	0	1	0	2	0	6	0	1	0
of	Percent	t	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3	0.0				
California	Women	10	4	1	1	1	3	0	10	0	0	0
	Percent		40.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	30.0	0.0		_		_
	Total Percent	17 t	7 43.8	1 6.2	2 12.5	1 6.2	5 31.3	0 0.0	16	0	1	0
The California		27	20	1	3	0	. 1	0	25	0	2	0
State	Percent		80.0	4.0	12.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	20	· ·	-	·
University	Women	25	20	1	2	0.0	2	0	25	0	0	0
	Percent		80.0	4.0	8.0	0.0	8.0	0.0				
	Total	52	40	2	5	0	3	0	50	0	2	0
	Percent	t	80.0	4.0	10.0	0.0	6.6	0.0				
California	Men	148	95	8	25	2	0	4	134	6	5	3
Community	Percent		70 8	6.0	18.7	1.5	0.0	3.0				
Colleges	Women	165	104	6	31	5	3	3	152	4	3	6
	Percent		68.4	3.9	20.4	3.3	2.0	2.0	606		^	0
	Total	313	199	14	56	7	3	7	28 6	10	8	9
	Percent	C	69.7	4.9	19.6	2.4	1.0	2.4				



County and Segment	Sex and Percent	<u>Number</u>	White	Black	<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Asıan</u>	<u>Filipino</u>	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No Response	<u>Other</u>	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Madera												
High School	Total Perc	689 ent	423 61.4	29 4.2	210 30.5	7 1.0	0 0.0	20 2. 9				
University of	Men Perc	4 ent	1 25.0	0 0.0	2 50.0	1 25.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4	0	0	0
California	Wome Perc		50.0	0 0.0	3 50.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	6	1	1	0
	Total Perc	12 ent	40.0	0 0.0	5 30.0	1 10.0	0.0	0 0.0	10	1	1	0
The California	Men Perc	26 ent	5 15 60.0	0 0.0	8 32.0	1 4.0	0 0.0	1 4.0	25	0	1	0
University	Wome Perc	ent	44.8	4 8.5	20 42.5	1 2.1	1 2.1	0 0.0	47	0	0	0
	Total Perc	73 ent	36 50.0	4 5. 5	28 38.9	2 2.8	1 1.4	1 1.4	72	0	1	0
California Community	Men Perc	99 ent	70 72.9	3 3.1	21 21. 9	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 2.1	96	0	2	1
Colleges	Wome Perce			4 4.1	16 16.5	1 1.0	1 1.0	5 5.2	97	2	2	2
	Total Perc	202 ent	140 72.6	7 3.6	37 19.2	1 0.5	1 0.5	7 3.6	1 9 3	2	4	3
Nevada								·			-	
High School	Total Perce	611 ent	605 99 .0	1 0.2	5 0.8	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0				
University of	Men Perc	11 ent	10 9 0.9	1 9.1	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	11	0	0	0
California	Wome Perce	n 11		0.0	0 0.0	1 9.1	0.0 0.0	0 0.0	11	0	0	0
	Total Perc	22 ent	20 9 0.9	1 4.5	0 0.0	1 4.5	0 0.0	0 0.0	22	0	0	0
The California	Men Perce	23 ent	22 95 .6	0 0.0	1 4.4	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	23	0	0	0
University	Wome Perce	n 32 ent	31 9 6.9	0 0.0	1 3.1	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	32	0	0	0
	Total Perc	55 ent	53 9 6.4	0 0.0	2 3.6	0.0	0.0	0 0.0	55	0	0	0
California Community	Men Perce	93 ent	83 9 1.2	1 1.1	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2.2 \end{array}$	2 2.2	1 1.1	2 2.2	91	0	1	1
Colleges	Wome Perce	n 98 ent	92 9 6.8	0 0.0	1 1.1	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 2.1	95	0	2	1
	Total Perce	191 ent	175 94.1	1 0. 5	3 1.6	2 1.1	1 0.5	4 2.2	186	0	3	2



County and Segment	Sex and Percent	<u>Number</u>	White	Black	<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Filipino</u>	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	No Response	<u>Other</u>	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Sutter												
High School	Total Perce	612 nt	464 75.8	0.0	63 10.3	81 13.2	0.0	4 0.7				
University of	Men Perce	13	11 84.6	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 15.4	0 0.0	0 0.0	13	0	0	0
California	Womer Perce	13	9 69.2	0.0 0.0	2 15.4	2 15.4	0.0	0 0.0	13	0	0	0
	Total Perce	26	20 76.9	0 0.0	2 7.7	4 15.4	0 0.0	0 0.0	26	0	0	0
The California State	Men Perce	12 nt	11 100.0	0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	11	0	1	0
University	Womer Perce	nt	13 81.3	0 0.0	2 12.5	1 6.2	0 0.0	0 0.0	16	1	0	0
	Total Perce	29 nt	24 88. 9	0.0	2 7.4	1 3.7	0.0	0 0.0	28	1	1	0
California Community	Men Perce	127 nt	98 79.7	2 1.6	16 13.0	4 3.3	0.0	3 2.4	123	0	4	0
Colleges	Womer Perce	nt	92 80.0	3 2.6	13 11.3	3.5	0.9	2 1.7	115	0	2	0
	Total Perce	244 nt	190 79.8	5 2.1	29 12.2	3.4	1 0.4	5 2.1	238	0	6	0
Tehama		<u> </u>			-							
High School	Total Perce	446 nt	3 9 3 88.1	1 0.2	33 7.4	2 0.4	0.0	17 3.8				
University of	Men Perce		ج ن.60	0 0.0	1 20.0	1 20.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	5	0	0	0
California	Womer Perce	nt	3 100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0.0	3	0	0	0
	Total Perce	8 nt	6 75.0	0.0	1 12.5	. 12.5	0 0.0	0 0.0	8	0	0	0
The California State	Men Perce	18 nt	18 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	18	0	0	0
University	Womer Perce	nt	16 84.1	0.0	1 5.3	1 5.3	0.0	1 5.3	19	1	0	0
	Total Perce	38 nt	34 91. 9	0.0	1 2.7	1 2.7	0 0.0	1 2.7	37	1	0	0
California Community	Men Perce	76 nt	64 86.5	0 0.0	4 5 4	1 1.4	0 0.0	5 6.7	74	2	0	0
Colleges	Womer Perce	nt	78 9 4.0	1 1.2	$2\\2.4$	1 1.2	1 1.2	0 0.0	83	1	2	0
	Total Perce	162 nt	142 90.4	1 0.6	6 3 8	2 1.3	0.6	5 3.3	157	3	2	0



Segment	Sex and Percent	Num	<u>ıber</u>	White	Black	Hispanic	<u>Asian</u>	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- <u>Total</u>	No <u>Response</u>	<u>Other</u>	Non- Resident <u>Alien</u>
Siskiyou													
High School	Total Perc		45 0	374 83.1	7 1.5	34 7.5	3 0.6	0 0.0	32 7.1				
University of	Men Perc	ant	1	1 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1	0	0	0
California	Wome Perc	n	3	9 75.0	0.0 0.0	2 16.7	1 8.3	0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0	12	1	0	0
	Total Perc		14	10 76.9	0.0	2 15.4	1 7.7	0 0.0	0.0 0.0	13	1	0	0
The California	Men Perc	ant	19	12 66.7	0 0.0	6 33.3	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	18	0	0	1
University	Wome	n	13	12 100.0	0.0 0 0.0	0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0 0.0	12	1	0	0
	Total Perc		32	24 80.0	0.0 0 0.0	6 20.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0 0.0	0.0 0 0.0	30	1	0	1
California Community	Men Perc	ent	94	78 84.8	5 5.4	3 3.3	. 1	0 0.0	5 5.4	92	2	0	0
Colleges	Wome	n :	111	86 82.7	3 2.9	8 7.7	2	0.0 0.0	5 4.8	104	7	0	0
	Total Perc	2	205	164 83.7	8 4.1	11 5.6	3 1.5	0.0	10 5.1	196	9	0	0
Tuolumne						- /							
High School	Total Perc		126	36 9 86.6	0 0.0	20 4.7	3 0.7	1 0.2	33 7.8				
University of	Men Perce	eat.	7	7 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	7	0	0	0
California	Wome	n	5	4 100 0	0.0 0.0	0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0 0.0	4	1	0	0
	Total Perce		12	11 100 0	0.0	0 0	0.0	0.0	0 0.0	11	1	0	0
The California State	Men Perce	ent	19	16 94 1	0 0.0	<u>1</u> 5.9	0 0 0	0 0.0	0 0.0	17	2	0	0
University	Wome	n	24	20 83.3	0.0 0.0	1 4.2	0.0	0.0 0.0	3 12.5	24	0	0	0
	Total Perce		43	36 87 8	0 0.0	2 4.9	0 0.0	0.0	3 7.3	41	2	0	0
California Community	Men Perce	ant	5 8	51 8 7 9	0 0.0	3 5.3	2 3.4	0 0.0	2 3.4	58	0	0	0
Colleges	Wome	n	61	57 93.4	0.0 0 0.0	3 4.9	0 0.0	0.0 0 0.0	1 1.7	61	0	0	0
	Total		19	108	0.0	4.9 6	0.0 2	0.0	3	119	0	G	0



*												Non-
County and Segment	Sex and Percent	Number	White	Slack	<u>Hispanic</u>	Asian	Filipino	American <u>Indian</u>	Sub- Total	Nc Response	Other	Resident
Yuba												
High School	Total Perce	373 nt	276 74.0	22 5.9	28 7.5	26 7.0	. 3 0.8	18 4.8				
University of	Men Perce	5	2 66.7	0 0.0	1 33.3	0 0.0	C 0.0	0 0.0	3	1	1	0
Caluornia	Women Perce	1 4	2 50.0	0.0 0.0	1 25.0	0.0 0.0	1 25.0	0.0 0.0	4	0	0	0
	Total Perce	9	50.0 4 57.1	0.0 0.0	2 2 28.6	0.0	1 14.3	0.0 0 0.0	7	1	1	0
The California State	ı Men Perce	19	10 58.8	0 0.0	4 23.5	2 11.8	0 0.0	1 5.9	17	0	2	0
University	Women Perce	13	7 53.8	1 7.7	4 30.8	0.0	0.0 0.0	1 7.7	13	0	0	0
	Total Perce	32	17 56.6	1 3.3	8 26.7	6.7	0.0 0.0	2 6.7	30	0-	2	0
California Community	Men Perce	75	50 67.9	6 7.7	6 7.7	9 11.5	0 0.0	3 5.2	74	0	1	0
Colleges	Women Perce	a 86	65 79.3	3 3.6	6 7.3	0.0	1 1.2	7 8.6	82	1	3	0
	Total Perce	161	115 73.7	9 5.8	12 7.7	9 5.8	1 0.6	10 6.4	156	1	4	0
Lake							••••					02
High School	Total Perce	368 nt	338 91.8	5 1.4	15 4.1	0 0.0	3 0.8	7 1.9				
University of	Men Perce	9 nt	7 77.8	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 22.2	0 0.0	9	0	0	0
California	Women Perce	1 4	3 75.0	1 25.0	0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0 0	4	0	0	0
	Total Perce	13 nt	`0 76. 9	î 7.7	0 0.0	0.0	2 15.4	0 0.0	13	0	0	0
The California		8	8	0	0	0	0	0 0 0	8	0	0	0
State University	Perce Women Perce	ı 16	100.0 15 9 3 8	0.0 0 0.0	0.0 0 0.0	0.0 0 0.0	0.0 0 0.0	1 6.2	16	0	0	0
	Total Perce	24	23 95.8	0.0 0.0	0.0 0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	1 4.2	24	0	0	0
California	Men	65	53	0	0	0	0	3	56	9	0	0
Community Colleges	Perce: Women	61	94.6 51	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4 3	56	4	0	1
	Perce Total Perce	126	91.0 104 92.8	0.0 0 0.0	0.0 0 0.0	1.8 1 0 9	1.8 1 0.9	5.4 6 5.4	112	13	0	1



County and Segment Total	Sex and Percent	Number	White	Black	Hispanio	<u>Asian</u>	<u>Filipino</u>	American Indian	Sub- <u>Total</u>	No <u>Response</u>	Othe	Non- Resident <u>r Alien</u>
High School	Total	225,770	139,270	18.051	42,975	18,902	4,931	1,641				
_	Percent		61.7	8.0	19.0	8.4	2.2	0.7				
University	Men	7,876	4,363	285	689	1,705	262	46	7,350	285	120	121
of	Perce	nt	59.4	3.8	9.4	23.2	3.6	0.6	.,			
California	Women	8,525	4,691	495	795	1,667	324	60	8,032	271	130	92
	Perce	nt	58.4	6.2	9.9	20.8	4.0	0.7	•			
	Total	16,401	9,054	780	1,484	3,372	586	106	15,382	556	250	213
	Perce	nt	58.9	5.1	9.6	21.9	3.8	0.7	·			
The California	Men	9,813	5,766	488	1,043	1,588	316	75	9,276	186	202	149
State	Perce	nt	62.2	5.3	11.2	17.1	3.4	0.8	,			
University	Women	12,093	7,277	804	1,271	1,595	428	81	11,456	246	268	123
	Percei	nt	63.5	7.0	11.1	13.9	3.7	0.8	•			
	Total	21,945	13,043	1,312	2,323	3 183	754	156	20,771	432	470	272
	Percei	nt	62.8	6.3	11.2	15.3	3.6	0.8				
California	Men	40,809	24,148	3,145	6,352	3,031	936	592	38,204	1.053	755	797
Community	Percer	nt	63.2	8.2	16.6	7.9	2.5	1.6	,	-,		
Colleges	Women	43,208	26,151	3,690	6,964	2,540	881	556	40,782	1,044	725	657
	Percer	nt	64.1	9.0	17.1	6.2	2.2	1.4	ŕ	•		
	Total	84,187	50,392	6,837	3,336	5,571	1,818	1,196	79,150	2,109	1,479	1,444
	Percer	nt	63.8	8.6	16.8	7.0	2.3	1.5	·		·	,
CC, CSU, CCC	Total	122,533	72,489	8,924	17,148	12,131	3,158	1,458	115,308	3.097	2,199	1.929
	Percer	nt	62.9	7.7	14.9	10.5	2.7	1.3	100.0	,		-,

Notes: Counties are listed according to the size of the county's high school graduating class.

The sum of the percentages for the six ethnic groups in each row equals 100.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.



^{*}Mmen's and women's numbers do not er'tal total numbers because some students' sex is unknown.

[&]quot;Number" is the total number of students, while "sub-total" is the number of students whose ethnicity was known.

References

California Fostsecondary Education Commission. Access in a Broader Context: College-Going Rates in California. Commission Report 78-14. Sacramento: The Commission, October 1978.

- --. College-Going Rates in California: Fall 1978 Update. Commission Agenda Item, September 16, 1979.
- --. College-Going Rates in California: 1979 Update. Commission Report 81-3. Sacramento: The Commission, January 1981.
- --. California College-Going Rates and Community College Transfers: 1980 Update Commission Report 80-2. Sacramento: The Commission, January 1982a.
- --. California College-Going Rates: 1981 Update.

Commission Report 82-42. Sacramento. The Commission, December 1982b.

- --. California College-Going Rates: 1982 Update. Commission Report 83-32. Sacramento: The Commission, December 1983.
- --. California College-Going Rates. 1933 Update. Commission Report 85-7. Sacramento The Commission, January 1985b.
- --. California College-Going Rates: 1984 Update. Commission Report 85-34. Sacramento. The Commission, September 1985b.
- --. California College-Going Rates: 1987 Update. Commission Report 86-25. Sacramento. The Commission, September 1986.



CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION

THE California Postsecondary Education Commission is a citizen board established in 1974 by the Legislature and Governor to coordinate the efforts of California's colleges and universities and to provide independent, non-partisan policy analysis and recommendations to the Governor and Legislature.

Members of the Commission

The Commission consists of 15 members. Nine represent the general public, with three each appointed for six-year terms by the Governor, the Senate Rules Committee, and the Speaker of the Assembly. The other six represent the major segments of postsecondary education in California.

As of March 1987, the Commissioners representing the general public are:

Seth P. Brunner, Sacramento
C. Thomas Dean, Long Beach, Chairperson
Seymour M. Farber, M.D., San Francisco
Cruz Reynoso, Los Angeles
Lowell J. Paige, El Macero
Roger C. Pettitt, Los Angeles
Sharon N. Skog, Mountain View, Vice Chairperson
Thomas E. Stang, Los Angeles
Stephen P. Teale, M.D., Mokelumne Hill

Represcutatives of the segments are:

"ori Wada, San Francisco; representing the Regents of the University of California

Claudia H. Hampton, Los Angeles; representing the Trustees of the California State University

Arthur H. Margosian, Fresno: representing the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges

Donald A. Henricksen, San Marino; representing California's independent colleges and universities

Harry Wugalter, Thousand Oaks; representing the Council for Private Postsecondary Educational Institutions

Angie Papadakis, Palos Verdes; representing the California State Board of Education

Functions of the Commission

The Commission is charged by the Legislature and Governor to "assure the effective utilization of public postsecondary education rescurces, thereby eliminating waste and unnecessary duplication, and to promote diversity, innovation, and responsiveness to student and societal needs."

To this end, the Commission conducts independent reviews of matters affecting the 2,600 institutions of postsecondary education in California, including Community Colleges, four-year colleges, universities, and; ofessional and occupational schools.

As an advisory planning and coordinating body, the Commission does not administer or govern any institutions, nor does it approve, authorize, or accredit any of them. Instead, it cooperates with other state agencies and non-governmental groups that perform these functions, while operating as an independent board with its own staff and its own specific duties of evaluation, coordination, and planning,

Operation of the Commission

The Commission holds regular meetings throughout the year a' which it debates and takes action on staff studies and takes positions on proposed legislation affecting education beyond the high school in California. By law, the Commission's meetings are open to the public. Requests to address the Commission may be made by writing the Commission in advace or by submitting a request prior to the start of a meeting.

The Commission's day-to-day work is carried out by its staff in Sacramento, under the guidance of its executive director, William H. Pickens, who is appointed by the Commission.

The Commission issues some 30 to ports each year on major issues confronting California postsecondary education. Recei reports are listed on the back cover.

Further information about the Commission, its meetings, its staff, and its publications may be obtained from the Commission offices at 1020 Twelfth Street, Third Floor, Sacramento, CA 98514-3985: telephone (916) 445-7933.



CALIFORNIA COLLEGE-GOING RATES, 1986 UPDATE

Califo: 3 Postsecondary Education Commission Report 87-38

ONE of a series of reports published by the Commission as part of its planning and coordinating responsibilities. Additional copies may be obtained without charge from the Publications Office, California Pest-secondary Education Commission, Third Floor, 1020 Twelfth Street, Sacramento, California 95814-3985.

Recent reports of the Commission include:

- 87-20 Background Papers of the ACR 141 Task Force on Funding Excellence in Higher Education (March 1987)
- 87-21 Educational Costs in Technical and Professional Fields of Study: A Report to the Legislature in Response to Assembly Concurrent Resolution 38 (Chapter 50 of the Statutes of 1986) (April 1987)
- 87-22 Update of Community College Transfer Student Statistics, University of California and the California State University, Fall 1986 (April 1987)
- 87-23 Annual Report on Program Review Activities, 1985-86: The Eleventh in a Series of Reports to the Legislature and the Governor on Program Review by Commission Staff and California's Public Colleges and Universities. (June 1987)
- 87-24 Looking to California's Pacific Neighbornood: Roles for Higher Education. A Report to the Governor and Legislature in Response to Assembly Concurrent Resolution 82 (1986) (June 1987)
- 87-25 Institutional Reports on Pacific Rim Programs: Submissions by the California Community Colleges, the California State University, and the University of California in Response to Assembly Concurrent Resolution 82 (1986) (J. 2 1987) (A supplement to Report 87-24.)
- 87-26 Major Gains and Losses: Part Two. A Staff Report on Shifts Since 1976 in the Popularity of Various Academic Disciplines as Fields of Study at California's Public Universities (June 1987)
- 87-27 Faculty Salary Revisions: A Revision of the Commission's 1985 Methodology for Preparing Its Annual Reports on Faculty and Administrative Salaries and Fringe Berefit Costs (June 1987)
- 87-28 Comments on the Second Draft of the Master Plan for Postsecondary Education, 1°37-2002, by

- William H. Pickens. Executive Director's Report, June 1987 (June 1987)
- 87-29 Evaluation of the Commission's Office Automation System: A Post-Implementation Fvaluation Report to the California State Department of Finance (June 1987)
- 87-30 California Colleges and Universities. [An alphabetical list of names, addresses, and telephone numbers.] (June 1987)
- 87-31 California Colleges and Universities Grouped by County (June 1987)
- 87-32 California Community College Districts and Colleges [An alphabetical list of districts and the colleges they operate, with district addresses, telephone numbers, and names of superintendents.] (June 1987)
- 87-33 Information Manual: A Guide to the Commission, Its Policies, Procedures, and Members (September 1987)
- 87-34 Information Manual: A Guide to the Commission, Its Policies, Procedures, Members, and Staff [A revision of Report 87-33 designed exclusively for staff orientation purposes.] (September 1987)
- 87-35 Appropriations in the 1987-88 State Budget for the Public Segments of Higher Education: A Staff Report to the California Postsecondary Education Commission (September 1987)
- 87-36 Supplemental Report on Academic Salaries, 1986-87: A Report to the Governor and Legislature in Response to Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 51 (1965) and Subsequent Postsecondary Salary Legislation (September 1987)
- 87-37 Improving Student Performance Reporting, Review and Epilogue: The Final Report of the Commission's Project on Transforming Student Academic Performance Data into Useful Information (September 1987)
- 87-38 California College-Going Raiss, 1986 Update: The Tenth in a Series of Reports on New Freshmen Enrollment at California's Colleges and Universities by Recent Graduates of California High Schools (September 1987)

